

0 –

Integral formulations of the geometric eikonal equation

Aurélien Monteillet

Torino, July 4th 2006

1	Front propagation	3
2	Hadamard's formula	10
3	The integral formulation	16
4	The converse theorem	21
5	Regularity of the front	22

1 – Front propagation

1 – Front propagation

1.1 – Level-set method.

We are interested in front propagations governed by the law

$$V_{t,x} = c(t, x) \quad (0.1)$$

where $V_{t,x}$ denotes the normal velocity of the point x of the front at time t .

1 – Front propagation

Références

- [1] Alvarez, O. ; Cardaliaguet, P. ; Monneau, R., *Existence and uniqueness for dislocation dynamics with nonnegative velocity, to appear in Interfaces and free boundaries.*
- [2] Barles, G. ; Ley, O., *Nonlocal first-order Hamilton-Jacobi equations modelling dislocations dynamics, to appear in Comm. Partial Differential Equations.*
- [3] Barles, G. ; Soner, H.M. ; Souganidis, P.E., *Front propagation and phase field theory, SIAM J. Control Optim.* 31 (1993), no. 2, 439-469.

1 – Front propagation

Assume that the front $\Gamma(t) = \partial\Omega(t)$ is smooth, and that there exists a smooth $u : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\Omega(t) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N; u(t, x) > 0\}, \quad \Gamma(t) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N; u(t, x) = 0\}$$

and $Du(t, x) \neq 0$ when $x \in \Gamma(t)$, where Du is the gradient of u with respect to x .

Then $V_{t,x} = \frac{u_t(t,x)}{|Du(t,x)|}$ and u therefore satisfies the *eikonal* equation :

$$u_t(t, x) = c(t, x)|Du(t, x)|. \quad (0.2)$$

1 – Front propagation

To generalize the preceding evolution to non-smooth fronts, we realize the following program :

1. Find $u_0 : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Gamma(0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N; u_0(x) = 0\}$
2. Solve in an appropriate sense the problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t(t, x) = c(t, x)|Du(t, x)| & \text{for } (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^N \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \end{cases} \quad (0.3)$$

3. Set $\Gamma(t) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N; u(t, x) = 0\}$

1 – Front propagation

Theorem 0.1 (M. Crandall, P.L. Lions).

Under the following assumptions :

(H) $c : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, bounded, Lipschitz continuous with respect to the second variable,

the problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t(t, x) = c(t, x)|Du(t, x)| & \text{for } (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^N \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \end{cases} \quad (0.4)$$

has a unique uniformly continuous viscosity solution on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^N$ for all initial datum u_0 that is uniformly continuous on \mathbb{R}^N .

1 – Front propagation

From now on, we restrict ourselves to sub-solutions.

A result from Barles, Soner and Souganidis ([3]) shows that any sub-solution u of a geometric equation gives another sub-solution $1_{\{u \geq 0\}}$.

We infer, for any closed set $K(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, that there exists a family $(K(t))_{t \in [0, T]}$ such that

1. The graph of K , $\bigcup_{t \in [0, T]} \{t\} \times K(t)$ is closed in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} .
2. $(t, x) \mapsto 1_{K(t)}(x)$ is a sub-solution of the eikonal equation on $(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^N$.

1 – Front propagation

Proposition 0.2. *If $K(0)$ is compact, the evolution is bounded : there exists $R > 0$ such that for all $t \in [0, T]$, $K(t) \subset B(0, R)$.*

2 – Hadamard's formula

2 – Hadamard's formula

If $\Gamma(t) = \partial\Omega(t)$ is a smooth hypersurface of \mathbb{R}^N for $t \geq 0$, and $(\Gamma_t)_{t \geq 0}$ evolves smoothly in time, *Hadamard's formula* states that :

For all $\phi \in C^1([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega(t)} \phi(t, x) dx = \int_{\Omega(t)} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}(t, x) dx + \int_{\partial\Omega(t)} V_{t,x} \phi(t, x) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) \quad (0.5)$$

2 – Hadamard's formula

In particular if $V_{t,x} \leq c(t, x)$ in the classical sense, we have for all $\phi \in C^1([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}_+)$:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega(t)} \phi(t, x) dx \leq \int_{\Omega(t)} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}(t, x) dx + \int_{\partial \Omega(t)} c(t, x) \phi(t, x) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) \quad (0.6)$$

2 – Hadamard's formula

When $(t, x) \mapsto 1_{K(t)}(x)$ is “only” a viscosity sub-solution of the eikonal equation, we don't know anything about the regularity of $K(t)$, and the term $\int_{\partial K(t)} c(t, x) \phi(t, x) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x)$ does not make any sense.

2 – Hadamard's formula

When $(t, x) \mapsto 1_{K(t)}(x)$ is “only” a viscosity sub-solution of the eikonal equation, we don't know anything about the regularity of $K(t)$, and the term $\int_{\partial K(t)} c(t, x) \phi(t, x) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x)$ does not make any sense.

However, under the conditions

i. $c(t, x) > 0 \forall (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^N$,

ii. $K(0)$ has the interior ball property of radius $r > 0$, *i.e.* is the union of closed balls of radius $r > 0$,

it has been proved by O. Alvarez, P. Cardaliaguet and R. Monneau that $K(t)$ also satisfies an interior ball condition, and that Hadamard's formula still holds.

2 – Hadamard's formula

An essential point is the following theorem that gives a control on the perimeter of sets with the interior ball property :

Theorem 0.3. *For all $r > 0$, there exists $M > 0$ such that for all closed set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ having the interior ball property of radius r and of diameter less than $1/r$, we have*

$$\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial E) \leq M.$$

2 – Hadamard's formula

From here on, we do not make any assumption either on the sign of c , nor on the regularity of the initial set.

We only consider a family $(K(t))_{t \in [0, T]}$ with closed graph such that $(t, x) \mapsto 1_{K(t)}(x)$ is a sub-solution of the eikonal equation on $(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^N$.

Set for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$K^\varepsilon(t) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N; d_{K(t)}(x) < \varepsilon\}$$

Then $\overline{K^\varepsilon(t)}$ has the interior ball property.

→ We want to generalize Hadamard's formula to the evolution $t \rightarrow K^\varepsilon(t)$.

2 – Hadamard's formula

To this end, we will have to modify the equation, which leads to introduce a perturbed velocity

$$c^\varepsilon(t, x) = \max_{|y-x| \leq \varepsilon} c(t, y)$$

3 – The integral formulation

3 – The integral formulation

3.1 – Statement of the result

Theorem 0.4. *Let $K : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ be such that*

- 1. $K(0)$ is compact and $K(t) \rightarrow K(0)$ in the Hausdorff distance as $t \rightarrow 0$,*
- 2. $\bigcup_{t \in [0, T]} \{t\} \times K(t)$ is closed in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} ,*
- 3. $u : (t, x) \mapsto 1_{K(t)}(x)$ is a viscosity sub-solution of the eikonal equation.*

3 – The integral formulation

Then for all t_1 et t_2 satisfying $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq T$, for almost all $\varepsilon > 0$, and for all $\phi \in C^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}_+)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{K^\varepsilon(s)} \phi_t(s, x) dx ds + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\partial K^\varepsilon(s)} c^\varepsilon(s, x) \phi(s, x) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) ds \\ & \geq \left[\int_{K^\varepsilon(s)} \phi(s, x) dx ds \right]_{t_1}^{t_2} \end{aligned} \tag{0.7}$$

3 – The integral formulation

3.2 – Steps of proof

Let $w(t, x) = -d_{K(t)}(x)$. Let $\theta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be smooth and non-decreasing such that $\theta = 0$ in $(-\infty, -\varepsilon]$, $\theta = 1$ in $[0, \infty)$, and set $w_\theta = \theta \circ w$.

3 – The integral formulation

3.2 – Steps of proof

Let $w(t, x) = -d_{K(t)}(x)$. Let $\theta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be smooth and non-decreasing such that $\theta = 0$ in $(-\infty, -\varepsilon]$, $\theta = 1$ in $[0, \infty)$, and set $w_\theta = \theta \circ w$.

1. w_θ is a sub-solution of $(w_\theta)_t = c^\varepsilon(t, x)|Dw_\theta|$ in $(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^N$.

3 – The integral formulation

3.2 – Steps of proof

Let $w(t, x) = -d_{K(t)}(x)$. Let $\theta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be smooth and non-decreasing such that $\theta = 0$ in $(-\infty, -\varepsilon]$, $\theta = 1$ in $[0, \infty)$, and set $w_\theta = \theta \circ w$.

1. w_θ is a sub-solution of $(w_\theta)_t = c^\varepsilon(t, x)|Dw_\theta|$ in $(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^N$.
2. For all $\phi \in C_c^1((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}_+)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w_\theta(s, x) \phi_t(s, x) dx ds \\ & + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} c^\varepsilon(s, x) |Dw_\theta(s, x)| \phi(s, x) dx ds \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

(this is obtained by a regularization in time and integration by parts).

3 – The integral formulation

As θ tends to $1_{(-\varepsilon, +\infty)}$:

$$3. \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w_\theta(s, x) \phi_t(s, x) dx ds \rightarrow \int_0^T \int_{K^\varepsilon(s)} \phi_t(s, x) dx ds.$$

3 – The integral formulation

As θ tends to $1_{(-\varepsilon, +\infty)}$:

$$3. \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w_\theta(s, x) \phi_t(s, x) dx ds \rightarrow \int_0^T \int_{K^\varepsilon(s)} \phi_t(s, x) dx ds.$$

4. The coarea formula shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} c^\varepsilon(s, x) |Dw_\theta(s, x)| \phi(s, x) dx \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_{\{w_\theta(s, \cdot) = \tau\}} c^\varepsilon(s, x) \phi(s, x) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) d\tau \\ &\rightarrow \int_{\partial K^\varepsilon(s)} c^\varepsilon(s, x) \phi(s, x) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) \quad \text{for a.a. } \varepsilon > 0. \end{aligned}$$

3 – The integral formulation

Conclusion :

For almost all $\varepsilon > 0$, for all $\phi \in C_c^1((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}_+)$,

$$\int_0^T \int_{K^\varepsilon(s)} \phi_t(s, x) dx ds + \int_0^T \int_{\partial K^\varepsilon(s)} c^\varepsilon(s, x) \phi(s, x) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) ds \geq 0.$$

4 – The converse theorem

4 – The converse theorem

The following theorem shows that the integral formulation characterizes the fact for $(t, x) \mapsto 1_{K(t)}(x)$ to be a viscosity sub-solution of the eikonal equation :

4 – The converse theorem

4 – The converse theorem

The following theorem shows that the integral formulation characterizes the fact for $(t, x) \mapsto 1_{K(t)}(x)$ to be a viscosity sub-solution of the eikonal equation :

Theorem 0.5. *Let $K : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ be such that*

- $\bigcup_{t \in [0, T]} \{t\} \times K(t)$ is closed in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} and K is bounded.*
- For almost all small enough $\varepsilon > 0$, for all $\phi \in C_c^1((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}_+)$,*

$$\int_0^T \int_{K^\varepsilon(s)} \phi_t(s, x) dx ds + \int_0^T \int_{\partial K^\varepsilon(s)} c^\varepsilon(s, x) \phi(s, x) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) ds \geq 0.$$

Then $u : (t, x) \mapsto 1_{K(t)}(x)$ is a viscosity sub-solution of $u_t = c(t, x)|Du|$ in $(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^N$.

5 – Regularity of the front

5 – Regularity of the front

5.1 – BV functions and sets of finite perimeter

Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N .

Definition 0.6. An application $f \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ is said to have locally bounded variations in Ω if for all open set $U \subset\subset \Omega$,

$$\sup\left\{ \int_U f(x) \operatorname{div} \phi(x) \, dx ; \phi \in C_c^1(U, \mathbb{R}^N) ; \|\phi\|_\infty \leq 1 \right\} < +\infty.$$

We denote by $BV_{loc}(\Omega)$ the set of functions of locally bounded variations in Ω .

Likewise, we say that $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ has bounded variations in Ω (BV) if the preceding definition holds for $U = \Omega$. We denote by $BV(\Omega)$ their set.

5 – Regularity of the front

From the Riesz representation theorem, we deduce :

Theorem 0.7. *Let $f \in BV_{loc}(\Omega)$. Then there exists a Radon measure μ on Ω and a μ -measurable application $\sigma : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ such that :*

1. $|\sigma(x)| = 1$ μ -a.e.
2. $\int_{\Omega} f(x) \operatorname{div} \phi(x) dx = - \int_{\Omega} \langle \phi(x), \sigma(x) \rangle d\mu \quad \forall \phi \in C_c^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$.

The measure μ is called the variation measure of f , and is denoted by $\|Df\|$.

5 – Regularity of the front

Definition 0.8. A \mathcal{L}^N -measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is said to have (locally) finite perimeter in Ω if 1_E has (locally) bounded variations in Ω .

The variation measure of 1_E in this case denoted $\|\partial E\|$, and the function $-\sigma$ given by theorem 0.7 is denoted ν_E .

We thus have for all $\phi \in C_c^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\int_E \operatorname{div} \phi(x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \langle \phi(x), \nu_E(x) \rangle \, d\|\partial E\|. \quad (0.8)$$

5 – Regularity of the front

Definition 0.9. Let E be a set of locally finite perimeter in Ω . We say that $x \in \Omega$ belongs to the reduced boundary of E , denoted $\partial^* E$, if :

1. $\|\partial E\|(B(x, r)) > 0 \quad \forall r > 0$,
2. $\frac{1}{\|\partial E\|(B(x, r))} \int_{B(x, r)} \nu_E(y) d\|\partial E\| \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0} \nu_E(x)$,
3. $|\nu_E(x)| = 1$.

5 – Regularity of the front

Then we have the following result :

Theorem 0.10. *Let E be a set of locally finite perimeter in Ω .*

Then :

1. $\|\partial E\|(B) = \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(B \cap \partial^* E)$ for all Borel set $B \subset \Omega$.
2. Gauss-Green formula : For all $\phi \in C_c^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\int_E \operatorname{div} \phi(x) dx = \int_{\partial^* E} \langle \phi(x), \nu_E(x) \rangle d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x). \quad (0.9)$$

5 – Regularity of the front

5.2 – Perimeter estimate

Theorem 0.11. *Let $K : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with closed graph in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} be such that*

1. $K(0)$ is compact,
2. $K(t) \rightarrow K(0)$ in the Hausdorff distance as $t \rightarrow 0$,
3. $u : (t, x) \mapsto 1_{K(t)}(x)$ is a viscosity sub-solution of the eikonal equation. Under the additional assumptions

(A1) c is of class C^1 , Dc is locally Lipschitz continuous with respect to the second variable,

(A2) $Dc(t, x) \neq 0$ if $c(t, x) = 0$,

5 – Regularity of the front

We have :

1. For almost all $t \in [0, T]$, $c(t, \cdot) 1_{K(t)}$ has bounded variations in $\{c(t, \cdot) < 0\}$.
2. For almost all $t \in [0, T]$, $K(t)$ has locally finite perimeter in $\{c(t, \cdot) < 0\}$.
3. If we denote $(\cdot)_-$ the negative part of a quantity ($(x)_- = \max(-x, 0)$), we have :

$$\int_0^T \int_{\partial^* K(s)} c_-(s, x) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) ds < +\infty.$$

5 – Regularity of the front

Heuristic idea : apply the integral formulation with $\varepsilon = 0$ to

$\phi = 1_{\{c < 0\}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{K(s)} \phi_t(s, x) dx ds + \int_0^T \int_{\partial K(s)} c(s, x) \phi(s, x) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) ds \\ & \geq \left[\int_{K(s)} \phi(s, x) dx ds \right]_0^T \end{aligned}$$

5 – Regularity of the front

1.
$$\left[\int_{K(s)} \phi(s, x) dx ds \right]_0^T = \left[\int_{K(s)} 1_{\{c < 0\}}(s, x) dx ds \right]_0^T .$$

5 – Regularity of the front

$$1. \left[\int_{K(s)} \phi(s, x) dx ds \right]_0^T = \left[\int_{K(s)} 1_{\{c < 0\}}(s, x) dx ds \right]_0^T.$$

$$2. \int_0^T \int_{K(s)} \phi_t(s, x) dx ds \leq \int_0^T \int_{K(s) \cap \{c(s, \cdot) = 0\}} \frac{|c_t(s, x)|}{|Dc(s, x)|} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) ds.$$

5 – Regularity of the front

Thank you!