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#### Abstract

In this paper, we give a complete characterization of such limits in terms of their continuity points when restricted to closed sets.
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## 1. Introduction

Baire-class function is named after Rene Louis Baire (1874-1932) a French mathematician. Baire defined the Baire-class $k$ function by taking the limit of Baire-class $\mathrm{k}-1$ with Baire-class 0 is the set of continuous functions (see [1]). He also proved an important result about complete metric spaces which commonly known as the Baire's Category Theorem. In this paper we focus on the space of Baire-class one functions.

We begin by defining Baire's terminology for sets, and the Baire's Category Theorem.

Definition 1.1. Let $X$ be a metric space. A set $E \subseteq X$ is of first category if it can be written as a countable union of nowhere dense sets, and is of second category if $E$ is not of first category.

Remark 1.2. The empty set is nowhere dense so is of first category, and hence, a set is of second category must not be empty.

Example 1.3. $\mathbb{Q}=\cup_{x \in \mathbb{Q}}\{x\}$. So, the rational number is of first category and is dense in $\mathbb{R}$.

Example 1.4. The Cantor set is nowhere dense in $\mathbb{R}$ so it is of first category and is uncountable.

Baire's Category Theorem is an important tool in functional analysis and general topology. We now prove that theorem.
Theorem 1.5 (Baire's Category Theorem).
a) If $(X, d)$ is a complete metric space and Let $\left\{U_{n}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ be the sequence of open dense subset of $X$. Then $\cap_{1}^{\infty} U_{n}$ is dense.
b) A complete metric is of second category.

Proof. a) It suffices to show for any open non-empty set $W \subset X$, we have $W \cap$ $\left(\cap_{1}^{\infty} U_{n}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Let $F_{n}=\cap_{i=1}^{n} U_{i} \cap W$ and $B_{r}(x)=\{y: d(x, y)<r\}$. Since $F_{1}$ is open, hence, $\exists r_{1}>0$ and $x_{1} \in F_{1}$ such that $\overline{B_{r_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right)} \subset F_{1}$. Now, since $F_{2}$ is open in X , so there is a $r_{2}<\frac{r_{1}}{2}$ and $x_{2} \in B_{r_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) \cap F_{2}$ such that $\overline{B_{r_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right)} \subset$ $B_{r_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) \cap F_{2}$. We can construct a sequence in $X$ inductively by choosing $r_{n}<\frac{r_{n-1}}{2}$ and $x_{n} \in B_{r_{n-1}}\left(x_{n-1}\right) \cap F_{n}$ such that $\overline{B_{r_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right)} \subset B_{n-1}\left(x_{n-1}\right) \cap F_{n}$. Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a cauchy sequence in $X$. By completeness of $X$, $x=\lim x_{n}$ exists and $x \in B_{r_{N}}\left(X_{N}\right)$ for all N .
Thus $x \in \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{n}$
b) Let $\left\{E_{n}\right\}$ be sequence of nowhere dense set. Then $\bar{E}_{n}^{c}=O_{n}$ is open and dense. And $\cap O_{n} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\cup \bar{E}_{n}^{c} \neq X$

## 2. Application of Baire' Category Theorem

We first give a formal definition for Baire-class one functions.
Definition 2.1. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. $f$ is called Baire-class one if there is a sequence of continuous function converging to $f$ point-wise.

Of course, this definition can be extended to any metric space as well as any general topological space.

Here is one application for Baire's Category Theorem which the domain is defined on a complete metric space. This theorem and its corollary show that although the point-wise limit of continuous function is not necessarily to be continuous, but its continuity points still form a nice set. [4] has given a proof with the domain defined on the real line. However, since the result for functions that the domain defined on the general complete metric space is more useful especially for our last theorem. Therefore, I stated the theorem for functions that the domain taken on any complete metric space.

Theorem 2.2. Let $X$ be a complete metric space. If $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Baire-class one, then the continuity points of $f$ is of second category.

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
o s c_{x}(f)=\limsup _{y \rightarrow x} f(y)-\liminf _{y \rightarrow x} f(y) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the oscillation of $f$ at $x$. It is clear that $f$ continuous at $x$ if and only if $\operatorname{osc}_{x}(f)=0$. Let $D=\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_{n}$ be the set of discontinuity points of $f$ where $D_{n}=\left\{x:\right.$ osc $\left._{x}(f) \geq \frac{1}{n}\right\}$ is a closed set. So it suffices to show $D_{n}^{c}$ is dense in $X$. Suppose not, then there is an open set $I$ such that $I \cap D_{n}^{c}=\emptyset$ and hence $\bar{I} \cap D_{n}^{c}=\emptyset$

Consider the set $E_{k}=\cap_{i, j \geq k}\left\{x:\left|f_{j}(x)-f_{i}(x)\right| \leq \frac{1}{4 n}\right\}$. Then $E_{k}$ is closed, $E_{1} \subseteq E_{2} \subseteq \ldots$ and their union is $X$, so $E_{k}$ is not nowhere dense and $\bar{I}=\cup_{1}^{\infty}\left(\bar{I} \cap E_{k}\right)$. So, Baire's theorem implies $E_{k} \cap \bar{I}$ is not nowhere dense in $\bar{I}$ for some $k$. So, there is an open set $J \subset E_{k} \cap \bar{I}$ and $\left|f_{j}(x)-f_{i}(x)\right| \leq \frac{1}{4 n}$ for all $x \in J$ and $i, j \geq k$. Thus, by letting $i \rightarrow \infty$ we have $\left|f(x)-f_{k}(x)\right| \leq \frac{1}{4 n}$. Since $f_{k}$ is continuous, so there is an open set $O \subseteq J$ such that $\left|f_{k}(y)-f_{k}(x)\right| \leq \frac{1}{4 n} \forall x, y \in O$. Thus $\forall x, y \in O$ we have $|f(x)-f(y)| \leq \frac{3}{4 n}<\frac{1}{n}$, but $O \cap D_{n}^{c}=\emptyset$. Thus $D_{n}^{c}$ is dense.

Corollary 2.3. If $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Baire-class one, then its point of continuity form a dense set.

Proof. $D_{n}$ is closed and nowhere dense. Thus $D_{n}^{c}$ is open and dense. So, the result follows from Baire's category theorem.

Corollary 2.4. If $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable, then its derivative continuous at $a$ dense set of points

There are more applications for the Baire's Category Theorem (see [2] and [3]).

## 3. Uniform limit of Baire-class one functions

The following proposition shows the space of Baire-class one functions is closed under the uniform limit. Also, the reader can find further developments of this proposition in [5].

Proposition 3.1. The uniform Limit of Baire-class one functions is Baire-class one.

Proof. Let $f_{n} \rightarrow f$ uniformly, each $f_{n}$ is Baire-calss one. Then there is a subsequence $g_{k}=f_{n_{k}}$ such that $\left|g_{k}-f\right|<\frac{1}{2^{k}}$. Then we can rewrite the limiting function $f(x)=g_{1}(x)+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(g_{n}(x)-g_{n-1}(x)\right)$, and $\left|g_{n}-g_{n-1}\right|<\frac{3}{2^{n}} \forall n$. So, it suffices to show $\sum_{2}^{\infty}\left(g_{n}(x)-g_{n-1}(x)\right)$ is Baire-class one.

Let $\phi_{n, k}$ be continuous and converges point-wise to $\left(g_{n}-g_{n-1}\right)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. We can assume $\left|\phi_{n, k}\right| \leq \frac{3}{2^{n}} \forall k$ since otherwise define $\tilde{\phi}_{n, k}=\phi_{n, k} 1_{\left\{x:\left|\phi_{n, k}(x)\right| \leq \frac{3}{\left.2^{n}\right\}}\right.}+$ $\frac{3}{2^{n}} 1_{\left\{x: \phi_{n, k}(x)>\frac{3}{\left.2^{n}\right\}}\right.}-\frac{3}{2^{n}} 1_{\left\{x: \phi_{n, k}(x)<-\frac{3}{\left.2^{n}\right\}}\right.}$. So, $h_{k}=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \phi_{n, k}$ make sense and the convergence for this infinite sum is uniform. Now fix $x$ and let $\epsilon$ be given, we can choose an $N$ large such that $\sum_{N}^{\infty}\left|\left(g_{n}-g_{n-1}\right)\right|$ and $\sum_{n=N}^{\infty}\left|\phi_{n, k}\right|$ both $<\epsilon$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|h_{k}(x)-\sum_{2}^{\infty}\left(g_{n}(x)-g_{n-1}(x)\right)\right|  \tag{2}\\
& \leq \sum_{n=N}^{\infty}\left|g_{n}-g_{n-1}\right|+\sum_{n=N}^{\infty}\left|\phi_{n, k}\right|  \tag{3}\\
& +\left|\sum_{n=2}^{N-1} \phi_{n, k}(x)-\sum_{n=2}^{N-1}\left(g_{n}(x)-g_{n-1}(x)\right)\right|  \tag{4}\\
& \quad<2 \epsilon \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, we let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and the proof is complete.
However, it may not be preserved under the point-wise limit. Here is a counter example.

Example 3.2. [2] Cosider the indicator function of the rational number $1_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Also consider $f_{m, n}(x)=|\cos (m!\pi x)|^{n}$. Then $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{m, n}(x)=1_{\mathbb{Q}}(x)$. Thus $1_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is poitwise limit of Baire-class one, but it has no point of continuity so is not Baire-class one function.

## 4. Properties of Baire-class One functions

In this section we will study some properties of Baire-class one functions
Definition 4.1. Let $X$ be a metric space. $A \subseteq X$ is $G_{\delta}$ if it is countable intersection of open sets, and is $F_{\sigma}$ if it is countable union of closed sets

Proposition 4.2. If $f$ is Baire-class one, then $f^{-1}(a, \infty)$ and $f^{-1}(-\infty, a)$ are $F_{\sigma}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. It suffices to show $f^{-1}(a, \infty)$ is $F_{\sigma}$. Let $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ be sequence of continuous functions that converges to $f$ point-wise. We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-1}(a, \infty)=\cup_{m=1}^{\infty} \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} \cap_{n \geq k}^{\infty} f_{n}^{-1}\left[a+\frac{1}{m}, \infty\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $x \in f^{-1}(a, \infty)$. Since $\lim f_{n}(x)=f(x)$, hence for sufficiently large $k$, we have $a+\frac{1}{m} \leq f_{n}(x)$ for some $m$ and for all $n \geq k$. Thus, $x \in \cap_{n \geq k}^{\infty} f_{n}^{-1}\left[a+\frac{1}{m}, \infty\right)$. Conversely, if $x \in \cup_{m=1}^{\infty} \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} \cap_{n \geq k}^{\infty} f_{n}^{-1}\left[a+\frac{1}{m}, \infty\right) \Rightarrow x \in \cap_{n \geq k}^{\infty} f_{n}^{-1}\left[a+\frac{1}{m}, \infty\right)$ for some $m$ and $k$ $\Rightarrow a<a+\frac{1}{m} \leq \lim f_{n}(x)=f(x)$.

In fact, the converse of this proposition is also true. The proof needs the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.3. Let $A$ and $B$ be two disjoint closed sets in $\mathbb{R}$, then there is a continuous function $f$ that is 1 on $A$ and 0 on $B$ with $0 \leq f \leq 1$

Proof. A and B are disjoint, so we can define a function $f$ such that $\left.f\right|_{A}=1$ and $\left.f\right|_{B}=0$. Now, $A^{c} \cap B^{c}$ is open in $\mathbb{R}$, so it can be written as countable union of disjoint open intervals $\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right)$. On each $\left[a_{n}, b_{n}\right]$, We define

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } a_{n}, b_{n} \in A  \tag{7}\\ 0 & \text { if } a_{n}, b_{n} \in B \\ \text { linear } & \text { if } a_{n}, b_{n} \text { are in different set }\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 4.4. $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is both $F_{\sigma}$ and $G_{\delta}$ if and only if $1_{F}$, the indicator function of $F$, is Baire-class one.

Proof. F is both $F_{\sigma}$ and $G_{\delta}$, then $F^{c}$ is $F_{\sigma}$. So $F=\cup_{1}^{\infty} A_{n}$ and $F^{c}=\cup_{1}^{\infty} B_{n}, A_{n}$ and $B_{n}$ are closed for all n. Moreover $A_{n} \cap B_{m}=\emptyset$ for all $n, m$. We may assume $A_{n}$ and $B_{n}$ are increasing ( i.e. $A_{1} \subseteq A_{2} \subseteq \ldots$ ). By lemma 4.3, for each n , there is a continuous function $f_{n}$ such that 1 on $A_{n}$ and 0 on $B_{n}$. Then $f_{n} \rightarrow 1_{F}$ points-wise. The converse is clear from proposition 4.2. and the fact $f^{-1}[a, \infty)=f^{-1}(a, \infty)$ for all $a \in(0,1)$

Lemma 4.5. Let $A$ and $B$ be two closed set in $\mathbb{R}$, then $A \backslash B$ is $F_{\sigma}$
Proof. $A \backslash B=A \cap B^{c}$, and $B^{c}$ is open set in $\mathbb{R}$, so is $F_{\sigma}$. So, the result follows from deMorgan's Law.

Lemma 4.6. Let $A$ and $B$ be $F_{\sigma}$ sets in $\mathbb{R}$. Then there exists $A^{\prime}, B^{\prime} F_{\sigma}$-set such that $A^{\prime} \subseteq A$ and $B^{\prime} \subseteq B, A \cup B=A^{\prime} \cup B^{\prime}$, and $A^{\prime} \cap B^{\prime}=\emptyset$.

Proof. Let $A=\cup_{1}^{\infty} A_{n}, B=\cup_{1}^{\infty} B_{n}$. We may assume $A_{n}, B_{n}$ are increasing for $n$. Let $C_{n}=\left(B_{n} \cap A_{n}\right) \backslash\left(B_{n-1} \cup A_{n-1}\right)$, then $C_{n} i s F_{\sigma}$ for all n. So, we let $A_{n}^{\prime}=A_{n} \backslash B_{n}$, and $B_{n}^{\prime}=\left(B_{n} \backslash A_{n}\right) \cup C_{n}$ and set $A^{\prime}=\cup_{1}^{\infty} A_{n}^{\prime}$ and $B^{\prime}=\cup_{1}^{\infty} B_{n}^{\prime}$
Remark 4.7. Let $A, B$ and $C$ be $F_{\sigma}$, we let $D=A \cup B$, then there is a $D^{\prime} \subseteq D$ and $C^{\prime} \subseteq C F_{\sigma}$ such that $D^{\prime} \cup C^{\prime}=D \cup C$ and $D^{\prime} \cap C^{\prime}=\emptyset$. Also, there exist $A^{\prime} \subseteq A \cap D^{\prime}$ and $B^{\prime} \subseteq B \cap D^{\prime} F_{\sigma}$ and $A^{\prime} \cup B^{\prime}=D^{\prime}$ with $A^{\prime} \cap B^{\prime}=\emptyset$. Then $A \cup B \cup C=A^{\prime} \cup B^{\prime} \cup C^{\prime}$ and they are $F_{\sigma}$ and pairwise disjoint with $A^{\prime} \subseteq A$, $B^{\prime} \subseteq B$, and $C^{\prime} \subseteq C$.

Remark 4.8. We can apply the same construction inductively to any finite union of $F_{\sigma}$ set.

Now, we are ready to prove the converse of Proposition 4.2.
Proposition 4.9. if $f^{-1}(a, \infty)$ and $f^{-1}(-\infty, a)$ are $F_{\sigma}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$, then $f$ is Baire-class one.

Proof. By composing with $\tilde{f}=\frac{1}{2}(1+\tanh (f))$. We may assume $0 \leq f \leq 1$. Now, $f^{-1}(a, b)$ is $F_{\sigma}$ for all $a<b \in \mathbb{R}$. So, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{k}^{n}=f^{-1}\left(k 2^{-n},(k+1) 2^{-n}+2^{-2 n}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there are $G_{k}^{n} \subseteq E_{k}^{n} F_{\sigma}$-set such that $G_{k}^{n} \cap G_{l}^{n}=\emptyset \forall m \neq l$, and $\cup_{k=1}^{n} G_{k}^{n}=\mathbb{R}$. So, consider the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{2^{n}-1} k 2^{-n} 1_{G_{k}^{n}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Write $E_{k}^{n}=f^{-1}\left(k 2^{-n},(k+1) 2^{-n}\right] \cup\left(E_{k}^{n} \cap E_{k+1}^{n}\right)$. If $x$ is in the first part, then $\left|\phi_{n}(x)-f(x)\right| \leq \frac{1+2^{-n}}{2^{n}}$. If $x$ is in the second part, then $x$ is in either $G_{k}^{n}$ or $G_{k+1}^{n}$, then $\left|f(x)-\phi_{n}(x)\right| \leq \frac{1+2^{-n}}{2^{n}}$. So, $\phi_{n}$ converges to $f$ uniformly and each $\phi_{n}$ are Baire-class one. So, $f$ is Baire-class one.

## 5. The Principle of Transfinite Induction

The principle of transfinite induction is a crucial tool for the last and the main result on this paper, and also is a useful technique in many aspect in mathematics. [6] has more discussion. We begin by defining the well ordered set.

Definition 5.1. If I is linearly ordered by $<$ and every subset of I has a unique minimal element in $I, I$ is said to be well ordered by $<$.

Theorem 5.2 (The Principle of Transfinite Induction). Let $I$ be well order set. Define $I_{x}=\{y \in I: y<x\}$ be the set of predecessor of $x$. If $A \subset I$ and if $I_{x} \subset A$ implies $x \in A$. Then $A=I$

Proof. If $I \neq A$ let $x=\inf (I \backslash A)$. Then $I_{x} \subset A$ but $x \notin A$.
So, if the well ordered set is the nature number, then it is just the ordinary induction. We also use the transfinite recursion in the following way (see [6]).

Theorem 5.3 (The Principle of Transfinite Recursion). Let I be well ordered set and $S$ be a set. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the set of all functions $f: I_{x} \rightarrow S$. Then given a function $G: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow S$, then there is a unique $f: I \rightarrow S$ such that $f(x)=G\left(\left.f\right|_{I_{x}}\right)$.

In practice this just means that if we have partially defined a function $f: I \rightarrow S$ for all $\alpha<\beta$, and given this we have a rule for the definition of $f$ at $\beta$, then we can define $f$ for all $\alpha \in I$.

We now ready to prove a useful consequence in the real line.
Proposition 5.4. Let $I$ be well ordered set and $\left\{\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}\right\}$ is a collection of closed set in $\mathbb{R}$ indexed by $\alpha \in I$ such that they are nested by reverse inclusion which is $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha} \subsetneq \cap_{\beta<\alpha} \mathcal{C}_{\beta}$. Then there are at most countably many $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$
Proof. Let $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha}=\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}^{c}$, then each $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha}$ are open set. Let $U=\left\{U_{i}\right\}$ be countable base for $\mathbb{R}$ (i.e. $U_{i}=B_{\frac{1}{n}}(x), x \in \mathbb{Q}$ ). If $\mathcal{O}$ is open and $x \in \mathcal{O}$, then there is a $U_{i}$ such that $x \in U_{i} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$.
Define $f: I \rightarrow U$ by

1) Let $\alpha_{0}=\min (I)$ and take $x_{\alpha_{0}} \in U_{\alpha_{0}} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\alpha_{0}}$ and set $f\left(\alpha_{0}\right)=U_{\alpha_{0}}$
2) If we have defined $U_{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha<\beta$ take $x_{\beta} \in \mathcal{O}_{\beta} \backslash \cup_{\alpha<\beta} \mathcal{O}_{\alpha}$ then there is a $U_{\beta}$ such that $x_{\beta} \in U_{\beta} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\beta}$ and set $f(\beta)=U_{\beta}$
So, $f$ exists by transfinite recursion. We will show $f$ is injective by transfinite induction: If we assume $f$ is injective for all $\alpha<\beta$, then by construction it is clear that $f(\beta) \neq f(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha<\beta$ because $x_{\beta} \in f(\beta)$ while $x_{\beta} \notin f(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha<\beta$.

## 6. Baire's Characterization Theorem

Now we prove our last result.

Theorem 6.1 (Baire's Characterization Theorem). A function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Baireclass one iff for every closed subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ there exists a point $x \in S$ such that $\left.f\right|_{S}$ is continuous at $x$ with respect to the subspace topology (i.e. for every sequence $x_{n} \in S$ with $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ one has $\left.f\left(x_{n}\right) \rightarrow f(x)\right)$.
Proof. $\Leftarrow)$ Suppose for every closed subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ there exists a point $x \in S$ such that $\left.f\right|_{S}$ is continuous at $x$. By proposition 4.9 It suffices to show $f^{-1}(a, \infty)$ and $f^{-1}(-\infty, a)$ are $F_{\sigma}$. First, we will show $f^{-1}(a, \infty)$ is $F_{\sigma}$. Let $a<b$ and $\mathcal{I}$ be a well ordered set. Let $0=\min (\mathcal{I})$ and $C_{0}=\mathbb{R}$, by the assumption there is an $x_{0} \in C_{0}$ such that $f$ is continuous at $x_{0}$. so, there is an open set $I_{0}$ such that if $f\left(x_{0}\right)>a$ then $f\left(I_{0}\right) \subseteq(a, \infty)$ or if $f\left(x_{0}\right)<b$ then $f\left(I_{0}\right) \subseteq(-\infty, b)$. We divide it into two cases,
a) Set $A_{0}=I_{0}$ if $f\left(x_{0}\right)>a$ and $A_{0}=\emptyset$ otherwise.
b) Set $B_{0}=I_{0}$ if $f\left(x_{0}\right) \leq a$ and $B_{0}=\emptyset$ otherwise.

Then $A_{0}$ and $B_{0}$ are $F_{\sigma}$
We can continuous the process with transfinite recursion. If for all $\beta \in \mathcal{I}_{\alpha}$ have been defined then set $C_{\alpha}=\mathbb{R} \backslash\left(\left(\cup_{\beta<\alpha} I_{\beta}\right)\right.$, then pick a $x_{\alpha}$ which $\left.f\right|_{C_{\alpha}}$ continuous at $x_{\alpha}$. Choose an open set $I_{\alpha}$ relative to $C_{\alpha}$ as above and define
a) $A_{\alpha}=I_{\alpha}$ if $f\left(x_{\alpha}\right)>a$ and $A_{\alpha}=\emptyset$ otherwise.
b) $B_{\alpha}=I_{\alpha}$ if $f\left(x_{\alpha}\right) \leq a$ and $B_{\alpha}=\emptyset$ otherwise.

Then $A_{\alpha}$ and $B_{\alpha}$ are $F_{\sigma}$ in $\mathbb{R}$.
We end the process when $C_{\alpha}$ reaches the empty set. Now, $\left\{C_{\alpha}\right\}$ is well ordered with reverse inclusion so is countable by proposition 5.4 , and each $A_{\alpha}$ and $B_{\alpha}$ is $F_{\sigma}$ set and so is $A=\cup A_{\alpha}$ and $B=\cup B_{\alpha}$ with $A \subseteq f^{-1}(a, \infty)$ and $B \subseteq f^{-1}(-\infty, b)$. Thus, $f^{-1}[b, \infty) \subseteq A \subseteq f^{-1}(a, \infty)$. Since b can be chosen arbitrary, by taking a sequence $b_{n}>a$ and converges to $a$. So, $f^{-1}(a, \infty)$ is an $F_{\sigma}$ set. And apply the same process to $f^{-1}(-\infty, a)$ but with $b<a$ to conclude $f^{-1}(-\infty, a)$ is $F_{\sigma}$.
$\Rightarrow)$ It is clear from theorem 2.2.
The closedness condition of the restriction set is crucial. In fact there is a counter example for which the function is Baire class one, but it has no point of continuity when it restricted on the the of rational number. We end this paper with the following example.

Example 6.2. Consider the rational ruler function that is

$$
f(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{q} \quad \text { if } x=\frac{p}{q} p \in \mathbb{N} \text { and } q \in \mathbb{Z}  \tag{10}\\
0 \quad \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be closed. Since $f$ is continuous on $\mathbb{Q}^{c}$, so it suffices to show $S \subset Q$, $\left.f\right|_{S}$ has a point of continuity, but then $S$ is not a perfect set which implies there is an isolated point $x \in S$, and $\left.f\right|_{S}$ continuous at $x$. Thus, $f$ is Baire class one. But there is no continuity points when $f$ restricted on $\mathbb{Q}$. Since $\frac{p(r-1)}{q r} \rightarrow \frac{p}{q}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ but $f\left(\frac{p(r-1)}{q r}\right)=\frac{1}{q r} \rightarrow 0$ for any $\frac{p}{q}$
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