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In this paper problem

## Abstract

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t}-\operatorname{div}\left(|x|^{-p \gamma}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)=\lambda \frac{u^{p-2} u}{|x|^{p(\gamma+1)}}, \text { in } \Omega \times(0, \infty), 0 \in \Omega  \tag{1}\\
u(x, t)=0, \text { on } \partial \Omega \times(0, \infty) \\
u(x, 0)=\psi(x) \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

is studied when $1<p<N,-\infty<(\gamma+1)<\frac{N}{p}$ and under hypotheses on the initial data.

## 1 Introduction

The results by Baras and Goldstein in [7] concerning a blow-up for the solution to heat equation with a critical potential of the type

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t}-\Delta u=\lambda \frac{u}{|x|^{2}}, \text { in } \Omega \times(0, \infty), 0 \in \Omega  \tag{2}\\
u(x, t)=0, \text { on } \partial \Omega \times(0, \infty) \\
u(x, 0)=\psi(x) \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

[^0]have attracted in the last years the interest of research on some related problems. Roughly speaking, apparently, the main ingredient of the problem studied by Baras and Goldstein is a classical Hardy inequality,
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{|u|^{2}}{|x|^{2}} d x \leq C_{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $C_{N}=\left(\frac{2}{N-2}\right)^{2}$ is the optimal constant that is not achieved in the Sobolev space $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. For problem (2) Baras and Goldstein have proved that if $\lambda \leq C_{N}^{-1}$ then there exists a global solution if the initial datum is in a convenient class, while if $\lambda>C_{N}^{-1}$ there is no solution, in the sense that, if we consider the solutions $u_{n}$ of the problems with truncated potential $W_{n}(x)=\min \left\{n,|x|^{-2}\right\}$, then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{n}(x, t)=+\infty, \text { for all }(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{+}
$$

We will call this behaviour as spectral instantaneous complete blow-up. On the other we have the following extension of Hardy's inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{|u|^{p}}{|x|^{(\gamma+1) p}} d x \leq C_{n, p, \gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p}}{|x|^{\gamma p}} d x, \quad-\infty<\gamma<\frac{N-p}{p} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is a particular limit case of the following Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities which are proven in [13] (see also [14]).

Proposition 1 Assume that $1<p<N$. Then there exists a positive constant $C_{N, p, \gamma, q}$ such that, for every $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{|u|^{q}}{|x|^{\delta q}} d x\right)^{p / q} \leq C_{N, p, \gamma, q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p}}{|x|^{\gamma p}} d x, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p, q, \gamma, \delta$ are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{q}-\frac{\delta}{N}=\frac{1}{p}-\frac{\gamma+1}{N}, \quad \gamma \leq \delta \leq \gamma+1 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\delta q<N, \gamma p<N$.

## Remark 1

i) Inequality (4) holds a fortiori in every open set $\Omega$.
ii) One can take

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n, p, \gamma}=\left(\frac{p}{N-p(\gamma+1)}\right)^{p} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (4). This choice of $C_{n, p, \gamma}$ is optimal in every open set $\Omega$ containing 0 . (The arguments are similar to those in [19] for $\gamma=0$ ).
iii) If $0 \in \Omega$, the optimal constant is never attained in (4).

Remark 2 The other limit case for inequality (5) is for $\delta=\gamma$, and then one obtains a weighted Sobolev inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\mid u u^{p^{*}}}{|x|^{\gamma p^{*}}} d x\right)^{p / p^{*}} \leq S_{n, p, \gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p}}{|x|^{\gamma p}} d x \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p^{*}=\frac{p N}{N-p}$.
It is quite natural to study the parabolic equations associated to inequality (4), namely, for the same values of $p$ and $\gamma$ we consider the problem

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t}-\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u}{|x|^{\gamma p}}\right)=\lambda \frac{|u|^{p-2} u}{|x|^{(\gamma+1) p},} & (x, t) \in \Omega \times(0, T)  \tag{P}\\ u(x, t)=0 & (x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times(0, T) \\ u(x, 0)=\psi(x), & x \in \Omega,\end{cases}
$$

where we assume that $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $0 \in \Omega$ and $\partial \Omega$ is $\mathcal{C}^{1}$ submanifold.

It is clear that the constant (7) will play an essential role in what follows, since the behaviour of the problem ( P ) will deeply depends on whether the parameter $\lambda$ is smaller or greater than the value

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n, p, \gamma}=\frac{1}{C_{n, p, \gamma}}=\left(\frac{N-p(\gamma+1)}{p}\right)^{p} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It could be expected that the behaviour for problem ( P ) should be similar to the one obtained by Baras-Goldstein for the equation (2). This conjecture is not completely true. Actually, there is another property which plays an important role in the spectral instantaneous and complete blow-up: a Harnack inequality for the homogeneous parabolic equation. This property is verified if $p \geq 2$ and $(1+\gamma)>0$. The case $p=2$ was proved by Chiarenza and Serapioni in [15], while the case $p>2$ was proved by Abdellaoui and Peral in [1].

The main contribution of this paper is to show that in the complementary range of the parameters $p$ and $\gamma$ we find solutions, even for large values of $\lambda$. The case $p=2, \gamma=0$ has been studied in [7] and recently in [26]. The case $p \neq 2, \gamma=0$ has been studied in [19] and [5].

The plan of the work is as follows. We begin with Section 2, where some notation is provided and appropriate function spaces are defined. Section 3 is devoted to the existence results. In the subsection 3.1 we obtain the existence of a global solution in the case $\lambda<\lambda_{N, p, \gamma}$ for all $1<p<N$. This is the content of Theorem 1 . In this case the solution belongs to the space $L^{p}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$, which is naturally related to the equation in (P) (see Section 2 for the definition). For this reason we will refer to this function $u$ as an energy solution. In the proof of Theorem 1 we give the details of some convergence results that will be used thereafter. Subsection 3.2 deals with the case $\lambda>\lambda_{N, p, \gamma}$ and $1<p \leq 2$. The existence of solutions according to the values of $\gamma$ and $p$ is investigated, and the main results are stated in Theorems 2, 3 and 4. Roughly speaking, as $\gamma$ and $p$ become larger, we find solutions which are less and less regular.


Figure 1: Summary of the existence and non-existence results for $\lambda>\lambda_{N, p, \gamma}$.

More precisely:

1. If $1<p \leq 2$ and $\gamma+1<\frac{N(2-p)}{2 p}$ (see region $A$ in Fig. 1 below), then we show the existence of energy solutions (see Theorem 2).
2. If $1<p \leq 2$ and $\frac{N(2-p)}{2 p} \leq \gamma+1<\frac{N(2-p)}{p}$ (see region $B$ ), we show the existence of a solution of $(\mathrm{P})$ in the sense of distributions; however this solution does not belong to the energy space (see Theorem 3). We will show that this is an entropy solution in the sense introduced in [8], [22] and [23] for equations with $L^{1}$ data (see Definition 1 below).
3. If $1<p \leq 2$ and $N(2-p) /(p)<\gamma+1<N / p$ (see region $C$ ), we show the existence of solutions of (P) in a very weak sense (see Theorem 4). We would like to point out that in this case we solve a problem where the right hand side is not bounded in $L^{1}$.

In subsection 3.3 we give some results on local existence for $p \geq 2$ and $\gamma \leq-1$ (see region $D$ in Fig. 1 below). This is the result of Theorem 5.

In section 4 we study the blow-up when $p>2,0<1+\gamma<\frac{N}{p}$ and $\lambda>\lambda_{N, p, \gamma}$ (see region $E$ in Fig. 1), extending and improving the result of [19] for $\gamma=0$.

The case $p=2$ is obtained in [3] by different kind of techniques. The main result is Theorem 7. We point out that for $p>2$ the blow-up is stronger than the one obtained for $p=2$, because even the solutions $u_{n}$ of the problems with truncated potential, $W_{n}(x)=$ $\min \left\{n,|x|^{-p(\gamma+1)}\right\}$, blow-up in finite time, and the blow-up time tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Finally in Section 5 we study the extinction in finite time of the solution in the case $1<p<2$, according to the relation between $\lambda$ and $\lambda_{N, p, \gamma}$. Roughly speaking the role that $\lambda_{N, p, \gamma}$ plays in the case $p>2$ for the blow-up is changed to be a threshold for the finite time extinction property in the case $1<p<2$.

## 2 Notation and function spaces

For $1<p<\infty$ and $\gamma<\frac{N-p}{p}$, we define the weighted space

$$
L_{\gamma}^{p}(\Omega)=\left\{u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text { measurable, such that } \frac{u(x)}{|x|^{\gamma}} \in L^{p}(\Omega)\right\},
$$

equipped with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{L_{\gamma}^{p}(\Omega)}=\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)|^{p}}{|x|^{\gamma p}} d x\right)^{1 / p} .
$$

It is easy to check that the dual space $\left(L_{\gamma}^{p}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}$ of $L_{\gamma}^{p}(\Omega)$ is the space $L_{-\gamma}^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$, where $p^{\prime}$ is defined by $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1$. Moreover we define $\mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ as the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in the norm

$$
\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)}=\|\nabla u\|_{L_{\gamma}^{p}(\Omega)}=\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u(x)|^{p}}{|x|^{\gamma p}} d x\right)^{1 / p}
$$

As $1<p<\infty, \mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is reflexive and we can define the dual space of $\mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, which we will denote by $\mathcal{D}_{-\gamma}^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$, as

$$
\mathcal{D}_{-\gamma}^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)=\left\{G \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Omega): G=\operatorname{div} F, F \in L_{-\gamma}^{p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right\}
$$

Let us point out that functions in $L_{\gamma}^{p}(\Omega)$ do not need to be distributions since they do not belong necessarily to $L^{1}(\Omega)$. If $\gamma+1 \leq-\frac{(p-1) N}{p}, \mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma}^{1, p} \not \subset L^{1}(\Omega)$. The meaning of the gradient in this case is understood as follows. If $u \in \mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma}^{1, p}$ and $\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is an approximating sequence then we obtain

$$
\nabla \phi_{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \text { in } L_{\gamma}^{p}\left(\Omega ; R^{N}\right)
$$

in fact by density and duality we can justify the integration by parts, namely

$$
\int_{\Omega}\langle\mathcal{V}, \psi\rangle d x=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left\langle\nabla \phi_{n}, \psi\right\rangle d x=-\int_{\Omega} u \operatorname{div}(\psi) d x \quad \text { for all } \psi \in \mathcal{D}_{0,-(\gamma+1)}^{1, p^{\prime}} .
$$

As a consequence we define $\operatorname{grad}(u):=\mathcal{V}$. On the other hand Theorems 1.18 in [20] shows that if $u \in \mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma}^{1, p}$ then the truncature $T_{k}(u) \in \mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, where $T_{k}(u)$ is defined by $T_{k}(u)=u$ if $|u|<k$ and $T_{k}(u)=k \frac{u}{|u|}$ if $|u| \geq k$. Since $T_{k}(u) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, then we can define $\nabla T_{k}(u)$ as a distribution and by Theorem 1.20 in [20] we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla T_{k}(u)=\operatorname{grad}(u) \chi_{\{|u|<k\}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hereafter we will denote $\nabla u=\operatorname{grad}(\mathrm{u})$. Notice the relation of this concept of gradient with the one in Lemma 2.1 in [8].

Therefore inequality (5) implies the continuous imbedding

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega) \subset L_{\delta}^{q}(\Omega) \quad \text { for } p, q, \gamma, \delta \text { satisfying (6). } \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies, by duality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{-\delta}^{q^{\prime}}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{D}_{-\gamma}^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega) \quad \text { for } p, q, \gamma, \delta \text { satisfying (6). } \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now define the following "evolution" spaces which will be useful in the sequel.

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^{p}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right) & =\{u(x, t): \Omega \times(0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text { measurable : } \\
u(\cdot, t) & \left.\in \mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega) \text { for a.e. } t \in(0, T),\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)} \in L^{p}(0, T)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

endowed with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{L^{p}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)}=\left(\int_{0}^{T}\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)}^{p} d t\right)^{1 / p}=\left(\iint_{Q_{T}} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p}}{|x|^{p \gamma}} d x\right)^{1 / p} .
$$

The dual space of $L^{p}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$ is $L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{D}_{-\gamma}^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right)$. Let us point out that

$$
\mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega) \subset L_{\delta}^{q}(\Omega) \quad \text { compactly }
$$

for every $p, q, \gamma, \delta$ satisfying $\frac{1}{q}-\frac{\delta}{N}>\frac{1}{p}-\frac{\gamma+1}{N}$ with $\gamma \leq \delta \leq \gamma+1$, and $\delta q<N, \gamma p<N$.
Indeed a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ which is bounded in $\mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ has a subsequence, again denoted by $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, which converges almost everywhere in $\Omega$ to a function $u \in L_{\delta}^{q}(\Omega)$. Moreover by Hölder's inequality and (8), for every measurable subset $E \subset \Omega$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{E} \frac{\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{q}}{|x|^{\delta q}} d x & \leq\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{p^{*}}}{|x|^{\gamma p^{*}}} d x\right)^{q / p^{*}}\left(\int_{E} \frac{1}{|x|^{(\delta-\gamma) \frac{q p^{*}}{p^{*}-q}}} d x\right)^{\left(p^{*}-q\right) / p^{*}} \\
& \leq c\left(\int_{E} \frac{1}{|x|^{(\delta-\gamma) \frac{q p^{*}}{p^{*}-q}}} d x\right)^{\left(p^{*}-q\right) / p^{*}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the function in the last integral is an $L^{1}$ function, we get the compactness result by Vitali's theorem.

It is easy to see that the operator defined by

$$
-\Delta_{p, \gamma} u=-\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u}{|x|^{p \gamma}}\right)
$$

maps $\mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ into its dual $\mathcal{D}_{-\gamma}^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$, and is hemicontinuous, coercive, monotone. (See [21]).

In the sequel, we will often use the following result, which is an easy application of Theorem 1.2bis of [21] and the reference [24] for the continuity with respect to the time of the $L^{2}$-norm.

Proposition 2 If $f \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{D}_{-\gamma}^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right), \psi \in L^{2}(\Omega)$, then there exists a unique solution in distribution sense, $u \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right) \cap \mathcal{C}^{0}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ of the following problem

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
u_{t}-\Delta_{p, \gamma} u & =f, & & \text { in } \Omega \times(0, T) \\
u(x, t) & =0, & & \text { in } \partial \Omega \times(0, T) \\
u(x, 0) & =\psi(x), & & \text { in } \Omega
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

We have the following result about the boundedness of the solutions.
Lemma 1 Let $u \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right) \cap \mathcal{C}^{0}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ be a distributional solution of $(\mathrm{F})$ (see Section 2), with $\psi \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and assume that there exist two constants $q$ and $\beta_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q>\frac{N}{p}, \quad \beta_{0}<p \gamma, \quad \operatorname{ess} \sup \int_{\Omega}|f(x, t)|^{q}|x|^{\beta_{0} q} d x<+\infty \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $u \in L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$.
The proof is a slight modification of the classical arguments and is omitted.

## 3 Existence results

We start by the simpler case $\lambda<\lambda_{N, p, \gamma}$, where $\lambda_{N, p, \gamma}$ is defined by (9).

### 3.1 The case $\lambda<\lambda_{N, p, \gamma}$ : global existence

As usual we denote by $T_{n}(s)$ the truncature function, i.e. $T_{n}(s)=s$ if $|s|<n, T_{n}(s)=$ $n$ signs if $|s|>n$. Let us observe that in this range for $\lambda$ the operator $-\Delta_{p, \gamma}-\lambda \frac{|u|^{p-2} u}{|x|^{p(\gamma+1)}}$ is coercive in the space $\mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. This essentially justifies the following

Theorem 1 If $1<p<N, \gamma<\frac{N-p}{p}, \lambda<\lambda_{N, p, \gamma}, \quad \psi(x) \in L^{2}(\Omega)$, there exists one distributional solution $u$ for problem ( P ). Moreover $u \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right) \cap \mathcal{C}^{0}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$.

Proof: Define

$$
w_{n}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
|x|^{-p \gamma}, \text { if } \gamma \geq 0 \\
|x|^{-p \gamma}+\frac{1}{n}, \text { if } \gamma<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
f_{n}(x, u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{T_{n}\left(|u|^{p-2} u\right)}{|x|^{p(\gamma+1)}+\frac{1}{n}} \text { if } \gamma \geq 0, \\
\frac{T_{n}\left(|u|^{p-2} u\right)}{|x|^{p \gamma}\left(|x|^{p}+\frac{1}{n}\right)} \text { if } \gamma<0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let us first consider the following approximate problems

$$
\begin{cases}\left(u_{n}\right)_{t}-\operatorname{div}\left(w_{n}(x)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}\right)=\lambda f_{n}\left(x, u_{n}\right), & (x, t) \in \Omega \times(0, T),  \tag{n}\\ u_{n}(x, t)=0 & (x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times(0, T), \\ u_{n}(x, 0)=T_{n}(\psi(x)), & x \in \Omega,\end{cases}
$$

By Proposition 2 of Section 2 and Schauder's fixed point theorem, it is quite easy to get existence of a solution $\left.u_{n} \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$. Let us multiply $\left(\mathrm{P}_{n}\right)$ by $u_{n}(x, t)$. Using inequality (5) one obtains

$$
\iint_{Q_{T}} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t} u_{n}+\iint_{Q_{T}} w_{n}(x)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p} \leq \lambda \iint_{Q_{T}} f_{n}\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n} \leq \lambda \iint_{Q_{T}} \frac{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}}{|x|^{p(\gamma+1)}} \leq \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{N, p, \gamma}} \iint_{Q_{T}} \frac{\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{|x|^{p \gamma}}
$$

where the first integral is understood as a duality product. Since $\lambda<\lambda_{N, p, \gamma}$, we get the following estimates:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)} \leq c_{1}  \tag{14}\\
\iint_{Q_{T}} \frac{\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{|x|^{p \gamma}} d x d t \leq c_{2} \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)} \leq c_{3} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore there exists a function $u \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ and a subsequence (still denoted by $u_{n}$ ) such that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $L^{p}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$ and $*$-weakly in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$.

Moreover, if $B_{\varepsilon}$ is the sphere centered in the origin with radius $\varepsilon$, we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(0, T ; W^{1, p}\left(\Omega \backslash B_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)} \leq c_{4}(\varepsilon) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\varepsilon>0$. By the equation in ( $\mathrm{P}_{n}$ ) we also deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; W^{-1, p^{\prime}}\left(\Omega \backslash B_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)} \leq c_{5}(\varepsilon) . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using a compactness Aubin's type result (see for instance [24]), by (17) and (18) we can assume that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^{p}\left(\left(\Omega_{\varepsilon}\right) \times(0, T)\right)$, for every $\varepsilon>0$, and therefore, up to a subsequence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n} \rightarrow u \quad \text { a.e. and in measure in } Q_{T} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now prove that, for every $\varepsilon>0$, if we define

$$
Q_{T}^{(\varepsilon)}=\left(\Omega \backslash B_{\varepsilon}\right) \times(0, T)
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla u_{n} \rightarrow \nabla u \quad \text { in measure on } Q_{T}^{(\varepsilon)} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

To do this, we follow a technique similar to the one introduced by Boccardo and Murat in [10]. Let us define, for $h>0$, the set

$$
H_{h}=H_{h, m, n}=\left\{(x, t) \in Q_{T}^{(\varepsilon)}:\left|\nabla u_{n}-\nabla u_{m}\right|>h\right\}
$$

We are going to prove that, for every $\delta>0$, one has meas $H_{h}<\delta$ for $m$ and $n$ large enough. Then, if we set, for positive $A, k$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Gamma(n, A)=\left\{(x, t) \in Q_{T}^{(\varepsilon)}:\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|>A\right\} \\
\Lambda(k)=\left\{(x, t) \in Q_{T}^{(\varepsilon)}:\left|u_{n}-u_{m}\right|>k\right\} \\
D(A, k, h)=\left\{(x, t) \in Q_{T}^{(\varepsilon)}:\left|\nabla u_{n}-\nabla u_{m}\right|>h,\right. \\
\\
\left.\left|\nabla u_{n}\right| \leq A,\left|\nabla u_{m}\right| \leq A,\left|u_{n}-u_{m}\right| \leq k\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

then

$$
H_{h} \subset \Gamma(n, A) \cup \Gamma(m, A) \cup \Lambda(k) \cup D(A, k, h) .
$$

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, meas $\Gamma(n, A)$ is small for $A$ large enough, uniformly in $n$, since $\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{q}$ is bounded in $L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ for every $q<N p /(N-\gamma p)$. Indeed

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{Q_{T}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{q}=\iint_{Q_{T}} \frac{\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{q}}{|x|^{\gamma q}}|x|^{\gamma q} \leq\left(\iint_{Q_{T}} \frac{\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{|x|^{\gamma p}}|x|^{\gamma q}\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}\left(\iint_{Q_{T}}|x|^{\frac{\gamma p q}{p q}}\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the last integral is finite. Moreover, by (19), for every fixed $k$, meas $\Lambda(k)$ is small if $n, m$ are large enough. We now consider the set $D(A, k, h)$. By multiplying by $\varphi(x) T_{k}\left(u_{n}-u_{m}\right)$ the equations satisfied by $u_{n}$ and $u_{m}$, respectively, where $\varphi(x) \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega), \varphi(x) \equiv 0$ for $|x| \leq \varepsilon / 2, \varphi(x) \equiv 1$ for $|x| \geq \varepsilon$, one obtains, since the integral involving the time-derivative is positive,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \iint_{Q_{T}^{(\varepsilon / 2)}} \frac{\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}-\left|\nabla u_{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{m}}{|x|^{p \gamma}} \nabla T_{k}\left(u_{n}-u_{m}\right) \varphi(x)  \tag{22}\\
& \quad \leq \lambda k \iint_{Q_{T}^{(\varepsilon / 2)}} \frac{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-1}+\left|u_{m}\right|^{p-1}}{|x|^{p(\gamma+1)}}+k \iint_{Q_{T}^{(\varepsilon / 2)}} \frac{\|\left. D u_{n}\right|^{p-1}+\left|\nabla u_{m}\right|^{p-1}}{|x|^{p \gamma}}|\nabla \varphi| .
\end{align*}
$$

Using Hölder's inequality, (5) and (16), one checks that the right-hand side of (22) is bounded by $c_{6} k$, where $c_{6}$ is a constant which only depends on $\lambda, \varepsilon, p, N$. Since the lefthand side is greater than

$$
\varepsilon^{-p \gamma} \iint_{Q_{T}^{(\epsilon)} \cap\left\{\left|u_{n}-u_{m}\right| \leq k\right\}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}-\left|\nabla u_{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{m}\right) \cdot \nabla\left(u_{n}-u_{m}\right),
$$

we have proved that this last integral is small (uniformly in $n$ and $m$ ) if $k$ is sufficiently small. Observe now that by the monotonicity and continuity of $|\xi|^{p-2} \xi$, for every $h>0$, there exists $\mu>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D(A, k, h) \subset G(A, k, \mu)=\left\{(x, t) \in Q_{T}^{(\varepsilon)}:\left|\nabla u_{n}\right| \leq A,\left|\nabla u_{m}\right| \leq A\right. \\
&\left.\left|u_{n}-u_{m}\right| \leq k,\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}-\left|\nabla u_{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{m}\right) \cdot \nabla\left(u_{n}-u_{m}\right)>\mu\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\text { meas } D(A, k, h) \leq \frac{1}{\mu} \iint_{Q_{T}^{(\varepsilon)} \cap\left\{\left|u_{n}-u_{m}\right| \leq k\right\}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}-\left|\nabla u_{m}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{m}\right) \cdot \nabla\left(u_{n}-u_{m}\right),
$$

so that meas $D(A, k, h)$ is small (uniformly in $n$ and $m$ ) if $k$ is sufficiently small. This proves (20). We can now pass to the limit in $\left(\mathrm{P}_{n}\right)$ in the sense of distributions. Indeed, if we multiply $\left(\mathrm{P}_{n}\right)$ by $\varphi(x, t) \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\iint_{Q_{T}} u_{n} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}+\iint_{Q_{T}} \frac{\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{n}}{|x|^{p \gamma}} \nabla \varphi=\lambda \iint_{Q_{T}} T_{n}\left(\frac{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n}}{|x|^{p(\gamma+1)}}\right) \varphi . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can easily pass to the limit in each term using the convergences (19) and (20), the estimates (14) and (16), the inequality (5) and Vitali's theorem.

### 3.2 The case $\lambda>\lambda_{N, p, \gamma}, \quad p \leq 2$ : global existence.

In this section we will suppose $\lambda>\lambda_{N, p, \gamma}$ and $p \leq 2$. We will show the existence of solutions with different behaviours (see Theorems 2, 3, 4 in subsection 3.2.1, 3.2.2, 3.2.3 below), depending on the range for the parameters $\gamma$ and $p$.

More precisely we will find solutions which become weaker and weaker (from the point of view of regularity) as $\gamma$ and $p$ increase (see Figure 1).

First of all let us prove the following lemma which will be useful in the sequel. It gives the existence of selfsimilar solutions $S(x, t)$ of the equation in problem $(\mathrm{P})$ for this range of the parameters.

Lemma 2 If $\lambda>\lambda_{N, p, \gamma}$ and $p<2$, the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(x, t)=A \cdot\left(\frac{t}{|x|^{p(\gamma+1)}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-p}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A=A(\lambda, \gamma)>0$ is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{p-2}=\frac{1}{(2-p)\left[(p-1) \delta^{p}-(N-p(\gamma+1)) \delta^{p-1}+\lambda\right]}, \quad \text { and } \quad \delta=\frac{p(\gamma+1)}{2-p}, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies:

1. If $\gamma+1<\frac{N(2-p)}{2 p}$, then $S(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{D}_{\gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ and verifies the equation ( P ) in the sense of distributions.
2. If $\frac{N(2-p)}{2 p} \leq \gamma+1<\frac{N(2-p)}{p}$, then
i) $S(\cdot, t) \in L^{q}(\Omega)$ for every $q$ such that $1<q<\frac{N(2-p)}{p(\gamma+1)}$;
ii) $\nabla S(\cdot, t) \in L^{q_{1}}(\Omega)$ for every $q$ such that $0<q_{1}<\frac{N(2-p)}{2+p \gamma}$;
iii) $\nabla S(\cdot, t) \in L_{\gamma}^{q}(\Omega)$ for every $q$ such that $0<q<\frac{N(2-p)}{2(\gamma+1)}$;
iv) $\frac{|\nabla S(\cdot, t)|^{p-1}}{|x|^{p \gamma}}, \frac{S(\cdot, t)^{p-1}}{|x|^{p(\gamma+1)}} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$;
$v) S$ solves the equation in (P) in the sense of distributions.
3. If $N \frac{(2-p)}{p} \leq(\gamma+1)<\frac{N}{p}$, then $S$ solves the equation in $(\mathrm{P})$ in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\} \times(0, \infty)\right.$ (and in some weighted Sobolev spaces that will be precised later).

Proof. We start by looking for solutions of the equation in $(\mathrm{P})$ of the form

$$
S(x, t)=t^{\alpha} f(r), \quad \text { with } r=|x| .
$$

Choosing the exponent $\alpha=1 /(2-p)$, one can cancel the variable $t$ from the equation, getting the following ordinary differential equation for $f(r)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha f=(p-1) r^{-p \gamma}\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime \prime}+r^{-(p \gamma+1)}(N-(p \gamma+1))\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} f^{\prime}+\lambda r^{-p(\gamma+1)}|f|^{p-2} f \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we look for solutions $f(r)$ of the form

$$
f(r)=A r^{-\delta}, \quad A>0 .
$$

It is easy to check that if we choose $\delta$ as in (25) we can cancel the terms involving powers of $r$ in (26), getting solutions of the form (24), provided the constant $A$ is defined as in (25), and is positive. This last assertion is true if

$$
\lambda>\left(\frac{p(\gamma+1)}{2-p}\right)^{p}(s-1)=\mu_{p, \gamma},
$$

where

$$
s=\frac{N(2-p)}{p(\gamma+1)} .
$$

Let us observe that the critical value $\lambda_{N, p, \gamma}$ can be rewritten as

$$
\lambda_{N, p, \gamma}=\left(\frac{p-2+s}{2-p}(\gamma+1)\right)^{p}
$$

Moreover, if we regard the constants $\lambda_{N, p, \gamma}$ and $\mu_{N, p, \gamma}$ as functions of the variable $s$,

$$
\lambda_{N, p, \gamma}(2)=\mu_{N, p, \gamma}(2), \quad \lambda_{N, p, \gamma}^{\prime}(2)=\mu_{N, p, \gamma}^{\prime}(2), \quad \lambda_{N, p, \gamma}^{\prime \prime}(s)>0 \quad \text { for } s \geq 2-p
$$

which implies $\lambda_{N, p, \gamma} \geq \mu_{N, p, \gamma}$, since $s>2-p$. Therefore for $\lambda \geq \lambda_{N, p, \gamma}$ we have $A>0$, and we obtain the existence of a positive solution $S(x, t)$. The regularity of $S$ stated in
the Lemma is an easy calculation from the explicit expression of $S$. It is also easy to see that, if $\gamma+1<N(2-p) / p$, then $S(x, t)$ is a solution of the equation in (P) in the sense of distributions.

We can summarize the results about $S$, for $1<p<2$, as follows.
a) If $\gamma+1<\frac{N(2-p)}{2 p}, S(x, t)$ is an energy solution, i.e. $S(x, t) \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right) \cap$ $\mathcal{C}^{0}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$.
b) If $\frac{N(2-p)}{2 p} \leq \gamma+1<\frac{N(2-p)}{p}, S(x, t)$ is an entropy solution (see Definition 1 in the next subsection)
c) If $\frac{N(2-p)}{p} \leq \gamma+1<\frac{N}{p}, S(x, t)$ is a very weak solution (see Theorem 4, below).

We will prove that the regularity of the self-similar solution gives the behaviour of the solutions for the initial value problem in each interval of the parameters. Notice that behaviour means that, if $1<p<2$, then, for all $\gamma \in\left(-\infty, \frac{N-p}{p}\right)$, the spectral instantaneous and complete blow-up as in Baras-Goldstein does not occur. Namely, there exist solutions with different meanings, for all $\lambda$.

Let us point out that, if $p=2$, all the previous critical values collapse to $1+\gamma=0$ and we will find that for $1+\gamma \leq 0$ there exist solution in the energy sense. Note that in this case, by linearity, we obtain global solution. Hence, also in this case, the spectral instantaneous and complete blow-up does not occur.

Moreover, if $p>2$ and $1+\gamma \leq 0$ an argument of comparison allows to conclude that there exist at least a local (in time) solution.

The remaining question about the behaviour in the case $p \geq 2, \frac{N}{p}>1+\gamma>0$ will be discussed in section 4.

### 3.2.1 The case $\lambda>\lambda_{N, p, \gamma}, p \leq 2, \gamma+1<N(2-p) /(2 p)$ : Global existence of solutions with finite energy

Theorem 2 If $\lambda>\lambda_{N, p, \gamma}, 1<p \leq 2, \gamma+1<\frac{N(2-p)}{2 p}, \quad \psi(x) \in L^{2}(\Omega)$, then there exists a distributional solution $u$ of problem ( P ) such that

$$
u \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)
$$

Proof. Let us consider the approximate problems $\left(\mathrm{P}_{n}\right)$ defined in the proof of Theorem 1. Using $u_{n}(x, t)$ as test function in $\left(\mathrm{P}_{n}\right)$, we get

$$
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{2}(x, \tau) d x+\iint_{Q_{\tau}} \frac{\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{|x|^{p^{\gamma}}} \leq \lambda \iint_{Q_{\tau}} \frac{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}}{|x|^{p(\gamma+1)}}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \psi^{2}(x) d x
$$

If $p<2$, one has

$$
\iint_{Q_{\tau}} \frac{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}}{|x|^{p(\gamma+1)}} \leq \iint_{Q_{\tau}} u_{n}^{2}+c_{1} T \int_{\Omega} \frac{d x}{| |^{2 p(\gamma+1) /(2-p)}}
$$

where $c_{1}=c_{1}(p)$. The last integral is finite by the hypotheses on $\gamma$. If $p=2$, then necessarily $\gamma+1<0$, and therefore

$$
\iint_{Q_{\tau}} \frac{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}}{|x|^{p(\gamma+1)}} \leq c_{2} \iint_{Q_{\tau}} u_{n}^{2}
$$

with $c_{2}=c_{2}(\Omega, \gamma)$. In both cases, by Gronwall's lemma, we obtain the estimates (14)-(16), and we can conclude the proof exactly as for Theorem 1

Remark 3 Note that actually, in the proof of this theorem, $\lambda$ can be any real number, since the principal part of the operator is never used to obtain estimates.

### 3.2.2 The case $\lambda>\lambda_{N, p, \gamma}, p \leq 2, N(2-p) /(2 p)<\gamma+1<N(2-p) / p$ : Global existence of entropy solutions

We will precise the sense in which we consider solutions in this case.
Definition 1 Assume that $\psi \in L^{1}(\Omega)$. We say that $u \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ is an entropy solution to problem (P)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { if } \frac{|u|^{(p-1)}}{|x|^{p(\gamma+1)}} \in L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right), T_{k}(u) \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right) \text { for all } k>0 \text { and } \\
& \int_{\Omega} \Theta_{k}(u(T)-v(T)) d x+\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle v_{t}, T_{k}(u-v)\right\rangle d t+\iint_{Q_{T}} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p \gamma}} \nabla u \cdot \nabla\left(T_{k}(u-v)\right)  \tag{27}\\
& \leq \int_{\Omega} \Theta_{k}(\psi-v(0)) d x+\lambda \iint_{Q_{T}} \frac{|u|^{p-2} u}{|x|^{p(\gamma+1)}} T_{k}(u-v),
\end{align*}
$$

for all $k>0$ and $v \in L^{p}\left((0, T), \mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right) \cap C\left([0, T] ; L^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ such that $v_{t} \in$ $L^{p^{\prime}}\left((0, T) ; \mathcal{D}_{-\gamma}^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right)$ where $\Theta_{k}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{k}(s)=\int_{0}^{s} T_{k}(t) d t \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a general definition and basic properties of entropy solutions see for instance the references [9], [23] and [22] .

Theorem 3 If $\lambda \geq \lambda_{N, p, \gamma}, 1<p<2, \frac{N(2-p)}{2 p} \leq \gamma+1<\frac{N(2-p)}{p}$, while the initial datum $\psi(x)$ satisfies

$$
\psi \in L^{q}(\Omega) \quad \text { for every } q \text { such that } 1<q<\frac{N(2-p)}{p(\gamma+1)}
$$

Then there exists a distributional solution $u$ of problem ( P ) such that:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{q}(\Omega)\right) \quad \text { for every } q \text { such that } \quad 1<q<\frac{N(2-p)}{p(\gamma+1)}  \tag{29}\\
\frac{|\nabla u|^{q_{1}}}{|x|^{\gamma q_{1}}} \in L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right) \quad \text { for every } q_{1} \text { such that } \quad 0<q_{1}<\frac{N(2-p)}{2(\gamma+1)}  \tag{30}\\
\frac{|\nabla u|^{p-1}}{|x|^{p \gamma}}, \frac{u^{p-1}}{|x|^{p(\gamma+1)}} \in L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right) \tag{31}
\end{gather*}
$$

Moreover $u$ is an entropy solution to problem (P),
Proof. Once again, we consider the approximate problems $\left(\mathrm{P}_{n}\right)$, and we multiply them by the test function $\Phi\left(u_{n}\right)=\left[\left(1+\left|u_{n}\right|\right)^{1-\mu}-1\right] \operatorname{sign} u_{n}$, with $\mu \in(0,1)$ to be chosen hereafter. If we define

$$
\Psi(s)=\int_{0}^{s} \Phi(\sigma) d \sigma=\frac{(1+|s|)^{2-\mu}-1}{2-\mu}-|s|,
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(s) \geq c_{1}(\mu)|s|^{2-\mu}-c_{2}(\mu) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} \Psi(u(x, \tau)) d x+(1-\mu) \iint_{Q_{\tau}} \frac{\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{|x|^{\gamma p}} \frac{1}{\left(1+\left|u_{n}\right|\right)^{\mu}} \\
& \quad \leq \int_{\Omega} \Psi(\psi(x)) d x+\lambda \iint_{Q_{\tau}} \frac{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-1}}{|x|^{p(\gamma+1)}}\left(1+\left|u_{n}\right|\right)^{1-\mu}  \tag{33}\\
& \quad \leq \int_{\Omega} \Psi(\psi(x)) d x+c_{3} \iint_{Q_{\tau}} \frac{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-\mu}+1}{|x|^{p(\gamma+1)}},
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{3}$ depends on $\lambda, \mu, p$. Note that $\Psi(\psi)$ is integrable by the hypothesis on the initial datum. Since $p<2$, we can estimate the last integral as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{Q_{\tau}} \frac{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-\mu}+1}{|x|^{p(\gamma+1)}} \leq c_{4} \iint_{Q_{\tau}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2-\mu}+c_{5} \iint_{Q_{\tau}} \frac{1}{|x|^{p(\gamma+1)(2-\mu) /(2-p)}}, \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{4}$ and $c_{5}$ depend on $\mu$ and $p$. Now we choose $\mu$ in such a way that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2-\frac{(2-p) N}{p(\gamma+1)}<\mu<1 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that the last integral in (34) converges. Using (32)-(35) and Gronwall's lemma, we obtain the following estimates

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{q}(\Omega)\right)} \leq c_{6}, \quad \text { for every } q \text { such that } 1<q<\frac{(2-p) N}{p(\gamma+1)}  \tag{36}\\
\iint_{Q_{T}} \frac{\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{|x|^{\gamma p}} \frac{1}{\left(1+\left|u_{n}\right|\right)^{\mu}} \leq c_{7}, \quad \text { for every } \mu \text { such that (35) holds }  \tag{37}\\
\iint_{Q_{T}} \frac{\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{q_{1}}}{|x|^{\gamma q_{1}}} \leq c_{8}, \quad \text { for every } q_{1} \text { such that } 0<q_{1}<\frac{(2-p) N}{2(\gamma+1)} .  \tag{38}\\
\iint_{Q_{T}} \frac{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-\mu}}{|x|^{p(\gamma+1)} \leq c_{9} \quad \text { for every } \mu \text { such that (35) holds }} . \tag{39}
\end{gather*}
$$

Indeed

$$
\iint_{Q_{T}} \frac{\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{q_{1}}}{|x|^{\gamma q_{1}}} \leq\left(\iint_{Q_{T}} \frac{\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}}{|x|^{\gamma p}} \frac{1}{\left(1+\left|u_{n}\right|\right)^{\mu}}\right)^{q_{1} / p}\left(\iint_{Q_{T}}\left(1+\left|u_{n}\right|\right)^{\mu q_{1} /\left(p-q_{1}\right)}\right)^{\left(p-q_{1}\right) / p}
$$

The estimate (38) follows from (37) and (35).
We now show that the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \iint_{Q_{T}} \frac{\left|u_{n}\right|^{(p-1) r}}{|x|^{p(\gamma+1)} \leq c_{10} \quad \text { for all } r \text { such that } 1 \leq r<\frac{2-p}{p-1}\left[\frac{N}{p(\gamma+1)}-1\right]}  \tag{40}\\
& \iint_{Q_{T}} \frac{\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{(p-1) s}}{|x|^{p \gamma}} \leq c_{11} \quad \text { for all } s \text { such that } 1 \leq s<\frac{(N-p \gamma)(2-p)}{(p-1)(2+p \gamma)} \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

Inequality (40) follows from (39) and (5), while (41) follows easily from (38). We can now pass to the limit in the distributional formulation, as we have done in the proof of Theorem 1 , using the estimate in $L^{q_{1}}\left(0, T ; W^{1, q_{1}}\left(\Omega \backslash B_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)$ which follows from $(30)$, for every $\varepsilon>0$.

The function $u$ is an entropy solution. Indeed it is easy to prove (taking $T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)$ as test function in $\left(\mathrm{P}_{n}\right)$ ) that $T_{k}\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $L^{p}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$ and, (using Vitali's theorem and (41)) that $f_{n}\left(x, u_{n}\right)$ converges to $\frac{u^{p-1}}{|x|^{p(\gamma+1)}}$ strongly in $L^{1}\left(Q_{T}\right)$.

Then, if we take $T_{k}\left(u_{n}-v\right)$ as test function in $\left(\mathrm{P}_{n}\right)$, with $v$ as in Definition 1 , we can easily pass to the limit and get the result with the same techniques as in [22].

Remark 4 As far as the sharpness of the regularity of the solutions found in Theorem 3, let us observe that any function of the form $S_{t_{0}}(x, t)=S\left(x, t+t_{0}\right)$, where $S$ is defined by (24), is a solution in distribution sense of problem (P), with initial data $\psi(x)=S\left(x, t_{0}\right)$ and its regularity is exactly the one we quoted in Theorem 3.

### 3.2.3 The case $\lambda>\lambda_{N, p, \gamma}, p \leq 2, N(2-p) /(p)<\gamma+1<N / p$ : Global existence of very weak solutions

We point out that for every $t>0$ the singular solution $S(x, t)$ is continuous with respect to $t$ with values in $L_{-\alpha p / 2}^{2}(\Omega)$ for every $\alpha$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha>\frac{2(\gamma+1)}{2-p}-\frac{N}{p} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

This, together with the previous estimates on $S$, suggests the definition of the following space:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Y}_{\alpha}=\left\{u \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma-\alpha}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right) \cap C^{0}\left([0, T] ; L_{-\frac{\alpha p}{2}}^{2}(\Omega)\right): u^{\prime} \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{D}_{-\beta}^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right)\right\}, \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\gamma+\alpha(p-1) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following theorem precises the meaning of very weak solution.
Theorem 4 Assume that $\lambda>\lambda_{N, p, \gamma}, 1<p<2, \frac{N(2-p)}{p} \leq \gamma+1<\frac{N}{p}$, and that the initial data $\psi(x)$ belongs to $L_{-\frac{\alpha p}{2}}^{2}(\Omega)$, for some $\alpha$ satifying (42). Then there exists a function $u \in \mathcal{Y}_{\alpha}$ which is a distributional solution of $(P)$ away from the origin (that is, in $\left.\mathcal{D}^{\prime}((\Omega \backslash\{0\}) \times(0, T))\right)$. Moreover $u$ is a solution of $(P)$ in the following sense:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.-\left.\int_{0}^{\tau}\left\langle v^{\prime},\right| x\right|^{\alpha p} u\right\rangle d t+\int_{\Omega} u(\tau) v(\tau)|x|^{\alpha p} d x-\int_{\Omega} \psi v(0)|x|^{\alpha p} d x  \tag{45}\\
& \quad+\iint_{Q_{\tau}} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla\left(v|x|^{\alpha p}\right)}{|x|^{\gamma p}} d x d t=\iint_{Q_{\tau}} \frac{\left.|u|^{p-2} u v|x|^{\alpha p}\right)}{|x|^{(\gamma+1) p}} d x d t
\end{align*}
$$

for every $\tau \in[0, T]$ and for every $v \in \mathcal{Y}_{\alpha}$.
Proof. Step 1: a priori estimate. Let $u_{n}$ be a solution of problem $\left(P_{n}\right)$. We use $|x|^{\alpha p} u_{n}(x, t)$ as test function in $\left(P_{n}\right)$. Then, by Young's inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{2}(x, T)|x|^{\alpha p} d x+\iint_{Q_{T}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}|x|^{(\alpha-\gamma) p} \\
& \quad \leq c_{1} \iint_{Q_{T}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p-1}|x|^{(\alpha-\gamma) p-1}+\lambda \iint_{Q_{T}} \frac{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}}{|x|^{p(\gamma+1-\alpha)}}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \psi^{2}(x)|x|^{\alpha p} d x \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{2} \iint_{Q_{T}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p}|x|^{(\alpha-\gamma) p}+c_{3} \iint_{Q_{T}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{2}|x|^{\alpha p}+c_{3} \int_{\Omega}|x|^{p\left(\alpha-\frac{2(\gamma+1)}{2-p}\right)}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \psi^{2}(x)|x|^{\alpha p} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Under the hypotheses on $\alpha$ and on the initial datum, the last two integrals are finite. Therefore we can use Gronwall's lemma to conclude that

$$
u_{n} \quad \text { is bounded in } L^{p}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma-\alpha}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right) \cap C^{0}\left([0, T] ; L_{-\frac{\alpha p}{2}}^{2}(\Omega)\right) .
$$

By the equation in $\left(P_{n}\right)$, one can easily check that

$$
u_{n}^{\prime} \quad \text { is bounded in } L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{D}_{-\beta}^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right)
$$

Step 2: passage to the limit. By weak convergence, and following the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1 for the pointwise convergence of the gradients, we obtain a function $u \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma-\alpha}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L_{-\frac{\alpha p}{2}}^{2}(\Omega)\right)$, with $u^{\prime} \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{D}_{-\beta}^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right)$, such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text { weakly in } L^{p}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma-\alpha}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right) ; \\
u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text {-weakly in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L_{-\frac{\alpha p}{2}}^{2}(\Omega)\right) ; \\
\nabla u_{n} \rightarrow \nabla u \quad \text { almost everywhere in } Q_{T} ; \\
u_{n}(\cdot, \tau) \rightarrow u(\cdot, \tau) \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega \text { and weakly in } L_{-\frac{\alpha p}{2}}^{2}(\Omega), \text { for every } \tau \in[0, T] ;
\end{gathered}
$$

Using these convergences, one can take $|x|^{\alpha p} v$ as test function in $\left(P_{n}\right)$ and pass to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, obtaining the weak formulation (45). Since the functions of the form $|x|^{\alpha p} v$ include smooth test functions in $\mathcal{D}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ which are zero in a neighbourhood of the origin, we have also proved that $u$ is a solution in distributional sense far from the origin.

We now prove that $u \in C^{0}\left([0, T] ; L_{-\frac{\alpha p}{2}}^{2}(\Omega)\right)$. According to the uniform estimates for the approximate solutions, we find that $u_{n}(\cdot, t)$ is an equi-continuous sequence in $\left.L_{-\frac{\alpha p}{2}}^{2}(\Omega)\right)$. By the Ascoli-Arzelà Lemma, we conclude.

Remark 5 i) The previous result, in the case where $\gamma=0$, improves the result contained in [19] and specifies the meaning of the solution given in that paper; more precisely, it gives us that the solution is in $L^{p}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{D}_{-\alpha}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$, for some $\alpha>$ $2 /(2-p)-N / p$.
ii) If we define the operator $\Gamma v=|x|^{\alpha p} v$, then $\Gamma$ is an isomorphism from $\mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma-\alpha}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ to $\mathcal{D}_{0, \beta}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, where $\beta=(p-1) \alpha+\gamma$. Therefore the weak formulation (45) could be rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\int_{0}^{\tau}\left\langle w^{\prime}, u\right\rangle d t & +\int_{\Omega} u(\tau) w(\tau) d x-\int_{\Omega} \psi w(0) d x \\
& +\iint_{Q_{\tau}} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla w}{|x|^{\gamma p}} d x d t=\iint_{Q_{\tau}} \frac{|u|^{p-2} u w}{|x|^{(\gamma+1) p}} d x d t
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $\tau \in[0, T]$ and for every $w \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{D}_{0, \beta}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right) \cap C^{0}\left([0, T] ; L_{\frac{\alpha p}{2}}^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ such that $w^{\prime} \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{D}_{\alpha-\gamma}^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right)$.
iii) In the case where the initial data $\psi(x)$ is non-negative and it satisfies

$$
\psi(x) \leq S\left(x, t+t_{0}\right) \quad \text { for some positive } t_{0}
$$

it is possible to obtain an alternative (constructive) proof by a monotone iteration argument, using $S\left(x, t+t_{0}\right)$ as a supersolution, and solving, by induction, the following sequence of problems

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial t}-\Delta_{p, \gamma} u_{n}=\lambda T_{n}\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{p(\gamma+1)}}\right) u_{n-1}^{p-1}, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times(0, T) \\ u_{n}(x, t)=0 & (x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times(0, T) \\ u_{n}(x, 0)=\psi(x), & x \in \Omega\end{cases}
$$

with $u_{0} \equiv 0$.
iv) The solution found in Theorem 5 satisfies the equation in a very weak sense because the right-hand side of the equation does not even belong to $L^{1}$.

### 3.3 The case $\lambda>\lambda_{N, p, \gamma}, p \geq 2, \gamma \leq-1$ : existence for small times

This subsection deals with existence for small values of $t$ in the case $\lambda>\lambda_{N, p, \gamma}, p>2$, $\gamma \leq-1$. The result of this subsection can be compared with the ones of the next Section 4: an istantaneous blow up will occur for the solutions of the approximate problems for the same values of $\lambda$ and $p$, when $\gamma>-1$.

Theorem 5 If $\lambda>\lambda_{N, p, \gamma}, p \geq 2, \gamma \leq-1$, while the initial data $\psi(x)$ satisfies $\psi(x) \in$ $L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and $\psi(x) \geq 0$, then there exists $T^{*}=T^{*}\left(N, p, \gamma, \lambda,\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\right)>0$ and a distributional solution $u$ in $Q_{T^{*}}$ of our problem with $u \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; \mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ for every $T<T^{*}$. Moreover if $p=2, T^{*}$ is any positive value.
Proof. Let us define the problems $\left(\tilde{P}_{n}\right)$ as in the previous subsection and let $y(t)$ be the solution of the following ordinary differential equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{\prime}(t)=d y^{p-1} \\
y(0)=\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \geq \lambda \sup _{x \in \Omega}|x|^{-p(\gamma+1)} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

An immediate calculation shows that
$\alpha$ ) If $p>2$ the solution is

$$
y(t)=\frac{\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}}{\left(1-(p-2) d\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{p-2} t\right)^{1} /(p-2)},
$$

which blows up in $t=T^{*}=\frac{1}{(p-2) d\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{p-2}}$.
$\beta$ ) If $p=2$ then the global solution is

$$
y(t)=\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} e^{d t}
$$

Since $y(t)$ is a supersolution of (P), by comparison principle we have

$$
u_{1} \leq u_{2} \leq \cdots \leq u_{n} \leq \cdots \leq y
$$

If we multiply problem $\left(\tilde{P}_{n}\right)$ by $u_{n} \chi_{(0, \tau)}$, we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{2}(x, \tau) d x+\iint_{Q_{T}} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p}}{|x|^{p \gamma}} \leq \lambda \iint_{Q_{T}}|y|^{p-1}|x|^{-p(\gamma+1)}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \psi^{2}(x) d x
$$

By condition (46),

$$
\lambda \iint_{Q_{T}}|y|^{p-1}|x|^{-p(\gamma+1)} \leq \operatorname{meas} \Omega\left(y(\tau)-\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\right)
$$

Therefore we get the estimates

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, \tau ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)} \leq c_{1}, \quad\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(0, \tau ; \mathcal{D}_{0, \gamma}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)} \leq c_{2}, \quad \text { for every } \tau<T^{*}
$$

In the case $p=2$ we can fix any $T^{*}>0$ to get the same estimates. Now the conclusion follows exactly as in the proof of Theorem 1.

## 4 Blow-up: $p>2, N / p>(1+\gamma)>0$ and $\lambda>\lambda_{n, p, \gamma}$

We consider in this section the spectral, instantaneous and complete blow-up in the case $p>2$ and $(1+\gamma)>0$. The case $p=2$ has been obtained in [3] and requires a different method. We would like to point out that in the case $p>2$ a stronger result than in the linear case is obtained. This behaviour is given because even the problem with the truncated potential blow-up in finite time. We will assume that the initial data verifies that $\psi \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ and there exists $\delta>0$ such that $\psi>0$ in $B_{\delta}(0)$. Notice that for the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}-\Delta_{p, \gamma} u=0 \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by direct calculations we can find Barenblatt type solutions, precisely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathcal{B}(x, t)=t^{-N \beta(N, p, \gamma)}\left[M-\frac{(p-2) \beta(N, p, \gamma)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}{p(\gamma+1)} \xi^{\frac{p(\gamma+1)}{p-1}}\right)\right]_{+}^{\frac{(p-2)}{(p-1)}} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ is a positive arbitrary constant,

$$
\beta(N, p, \gamma)=\frac{1}{N(p-2)+p(\gamma+1)} \text { and } \xi=\frac{|x|}{t^{\beta(N, p, \gamma)}} .
$$

This property could be understood as some kind of finite speed of propagation for the equation with zero right hand side. It is necessary to point out that if $\gamma \neq 0$ the equation is not invariant by translation and then the corresponding translated Barenblatt functions are not solutions to the equation.

The lack of homogeneity in the equation (47) provides the following weak Harnack inequality.

Lemma 3 Let u be a non-negative weak solution to (47) and assume that $u\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)>0$, for some $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in \Omega_{T}$, then there exists $B(N, p, \gamma)>1$ such that, for all $\theta, \rho>0$ satisfying $B_{4 \rho}\left(x_{0}\right) \times\left(t_{0}-4 \theta, t_{0}+4 \theta\right) \subset \Omega_{T}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\left|B_{\rho}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|} \int_{B_{\rho}\left(x_{0}\right)} u\left(x, t_{0}\right) d x \leq \\
& B\left[\left(\frac{\rho^{p(\gamma+1)}}{\theta}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}}+\left(\frac{\theta}{\rho^{p(\gamma+1)}}\right)^{\frac{N}{p(\gamma+1)}}\left(\inf _{B_{\rho}\left(x_{0}\right)} u\left(., t_{0}+\theta\right)\right)^{\frac{\lambda_{\gamma}}{p(\gamma+1)}}\right] \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda_{\gamma}=N(p-2)+p(\gamma+1)=\frac{1}{\beta(N, p, \gamma)}$.

The proof is similar to the one by DiBenedetto in [17] for the case $\gamma=0$. The details can be found in [1] in the case $(1+\gamma)>0$, where some counterexamples to Harnack inequality if $(1+\gamma) \leq 0$ are shown.

We consider problem (P), and we make the following assumptions:
(H1) $p>2,0<1+\gamma<N / p$ and $\lambda>\lambda_{n, p, \gamma}$.
(H2) $\psi \in L^{\infty}(\Omega), \psi(x) \geq 0$ and moreover there exists $\rho, \delta>0$ such that $\psi(x)>\delta$, for every $x \in B_{\rho}(0)$.

We will prove that problem (P) has no solution. We start by studying, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the following approximate problems

$$
\begin{cases}\left(u_{n}\right)_{t}-\Delta_{p, \gamma} u_{n}=\lambda W_{n}(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p-2} u_{n} & \text { in } Q_{T}  \tag{50}\\ u(x, t)=0, & \text { on } \partial \Omega \times(0, T), \\ u(x, 0)=\psi(x) & \text { in } \Omega,\end{cases}
$$

where $W_{n}(x)=T_{n}\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{p(\gamma+1)}}\right)$. Note that for every fixed $n$, problem (50) has a solution at least for small times (depending on $n$ and $\lambda$ ), as one can easily see using a convenient supersolution independent of $x$.

By separation of variables we look for solutions of the equation in (50) of the form $\Phi(x, t)=\Theta(t) X(x)$, to use as a subsolution. The equation becomes

$$
\Theta^{\prime} X-\Theta^{p-1} \Delta_{p, \gamma} X=\lambda W_{n}(x) \Theta^{p-1} X^{p-1}
$$

We take $\Theta(t)$ solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\Theta^{\prime}(t) & =\mu \Theta^{p-1}(t)  \tag{51}\\
\Theta(0) & =A
\end{align*}\right.
$$

that is,

$$
\Theta(t)=\frac{A}{\left[1-(p-2) \mu A^{p-2} t\right]^{1 /(p-2)}}
$$

with $\mu, A>0$ to be chosen. Note that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \tau} \Theta(t)=\infty$ for $\tau=\frac{1}{\mu(p-2) A^{p-2}}$.
On the other hand, $X(x)$ must solve the elliptic problem

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\Delta_{p, \gamma} X & =\lambda W_{n}(x) X^{p-1}-\mu X & & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{52}\\
X(x) & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Defining $\alpha X=Y$ with $\mu \alpha^{p-2}=\lambda$ the problem above becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\Delta_{p, \gamma} Y & =\lambda\left(W_{n}(x) Y^{p-1}-Y\right) & & \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{53}\\
Y(x) & =0 & & \text { in } \partial \Omega .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Problem (53) falls in the hypotheses for bifurcation from infinity as in [6], see details in [16].

Let $\lambda_{1}(n)$ be the first eigenvalue for the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
-\Delta_{p, \gamma} \varphi & =\lambda W_{n}(x)|\varphi|^{p-2} \varphi & & \text { in } \Omega \\
\varphi(x) & =0 & & \text { in } \partial \Omega .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Then $\left.i) \lambda_{1}(n)>0 ; i i\right) \lambda_{1}(n)$ is isolated and simple; iii) the first eigenfunction does not change sign; $i v) \lambda_{1}(n)$ is decreasing in $n$, and $\lambda_{1}(n) \searrow \lambda_{N, p, \gamma}$. The properties $i$, $i i$ ) and $i i i$ ) are similar to the $p$-laplacian case and are detailed in [16],iv) is easily checked following the proof for the $p$-laplacian in [19].

Theorem 6 If $\lambda>\lambda_{N, p, \gamma}$, then there exists $n_{0}$ such that, for every $n>n_{0}$, there exists a bounded positive solution $Y(x)$ to (53).

Proof. As $\lambda>\lambda_{N, p, \gamma}$ there exists $n_{0}$ such that, for $n>n_{0}, \lambda>\lambda_{1}(n)$. Now $\lambda_{1}(n)$ is the unique bifurcation point of positive solutions from infinity for problem (53). Moreover, as $(1+\gamma)>0$, the solutions in the branch are bounded, see [16] and [6]. Moreover, if $Y>0$ is a solution to (53) then $\|Y\|_{\infty} \geq R_{n}>0$, for some constant $R_{n}$ because if a positive solution $Y$ is such that $\|Y\|_{\infty}<\varepsilon$, then we have $-\Delta_{p, \gamma} Y \leq \lambda Y\left(n \varepsilon^{p-2}-1\right)<0$ and for $\varepsilon$ small we reach a contradiction with the maximum principle .

As a consequence we can find a subsolution to problem (50) that shows the finite time blow-up. Precisely we have the following result.

Lemma 4 Let $u$ be a solution to problem (50) where $\lambda>\lambda_{1}(n)$ and $\psi(x)>0$ in every $x \in \Omega$. Then there exists $T>0$ depending on the data and there exists a subsolution $\Phi$ such that $u(x, t) \geq \Phi(x, t)$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow T} \Phi(x, t)=\infty$ for every $x \in \Omega$.

Proof. The solution $u$ is positive and, by regularity (see [1]), is bounded for small times. Therefore we fix a small time $\tau>0$, and we look for a subsolution of the form $\Phi(x, t)=X(x) \Theta(t)$, with $X(x)$ solution of (52), and

$$
\Theta(t)=\epsilon\left(1-(p-2) \varepsilon^{p-2}(t-\tau)\right)^{-1 /(p-2)},
$$

with $\varepsilon>0$ such that $\varepsilon X(x) \leq u(x, \tau)$. By the weak comparison principle we conclude.

In order to show the instantaneous complete blow-up, we need to rescale the problem, using the following property. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{n}(x)=\left(\frac{n_{0}}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} X\left(\left(\frac{n}{n_{0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(\gamma+1)}} x\right) . \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $Z_{n}$ solves

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
-\Delta_{p, \gamma} Z_{n} & =\lambda W_{n}(x) Z_{n}^{p-1}-\mu Z_{n}, & & \text { if }|x|<\left(\frac{n_{0}}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(\gamma+1)}} \\
Z_{n}(x) & =0, & & \text { if }|x|=\left(\frac{n_{0}}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(\gamma+1)}}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

since $\left(\frac{n}{n_{0}}\right) W_{n_{0}}\left(\left(\frac{n}{n_{0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(\gamma+1)}} x\right)=W_{n}(x)$. Moreover the radius of the ball goes to zero and $\left\|Z_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore for prescribed $R, \eta>0$ we can choose $n$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{n_{0}}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(\gamma+1)}}<R, \quad Z_{n}(x) \leq \eta \quad \text { on } B_{R} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5 Assume that (H1), (H2) hold. Then for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exist $r(\varepsilon)>0$ and $n_{\varepsilon}$ such that $u_{n}$ minimal solution to (50) $\forall n>n_{\varepsilon}$

$$
u_{n}(x, t) \equiv+\infty \quad \text { for } t>\varepsilon \text { and }|x|<r(\varepsilon)
$$

Proof. Take $n_{0}$ such that $\lambda>\lambda_{1}\left(n_{0}\right)$. We prescribe the blow-up time $T=\varepsilon$ and choose $\mu=[(p-2) \varepsilon]^{-1}$. For such $\mu$ and $n>n_{0}$ such that the scaled solution (54) to (50), $X_{n}$, satisfies (55) with $R=\rho$ and $\eta=\delta$. Consider $\Theta(t)$ solution to (51) with $\mu$ as above and A=1. Then $\phi_{n}(x, t)=\Theta(t) X_{n}(x)$ blows up in $T=\varepsilon$. By weak comparison in the ball $|x|<\left(\frac{n_{0}}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{p(\gamma+1)}}$ the minimal solution to (50), blows up in $T_{0}<\varepsilon$.

We point out that in order to obtain blow-up in a prescribed small time we have to take the index $n$ large enough. We will use the concept of entropy solution introduced in Definition 1 and a straightforward modification of the comparison arguments for entropy solutions (see [23]).

Theorem 7 Assume that (H1), (H2) hold. Then problem (P) has no entropy solution, even for small times and moreover if $u_{n}(x, t)$ is the minimal solution to (50), we have that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{n}(x, t)=+\infty$, for all $(x, t) \in \Omega \times(0, \infty)$

Proof. By contradiction, assume that there exists an entropy solution $u(x, t)>0$ of problem (P). Then $u$ is a supersolution to problem (50) for all $n$. As a consequence the minimal solution to (50) satisfies $u_{n}(x, t) \leq u(x, t)$, hence $u(x, t)$ blows up at least in the time where $u_{n}$ blows up, so we conclude.
By using Lemma 5 we obtain a region $E_{\infty}$ such that

$$
E_{\infty} \supset\{|x|<r(t)\} \times(0, \infty),
$$

such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{n}(x, t)=+\infty, \text { for all }(x, t) \in E_{\infty}
$$

Next we use the Harnack inequality (49), assume that there exist a point $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \in \Omega \times$ $(0, \infty)$ such that $0 \leq u_{n}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \leq M<\infty$ and call

$$
\rho\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)=\operatorname{dist}\left\{x_{0}, \partial \Omega\right\}>0 .
$$

Then, if $B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right) \times\left\{t=t_{1}\right\} \cap E_{\infty}$ has $N$-dimensional positive measure for some $r<\rho\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ and $t_{1}<t_{0}$, we consider problem

$$
\begin{cases}\left(v_{n}\right)_{t}-\Delta_{p, \gamma} v_{n}=0 & \text { in } B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right) \times\left(t_{1}, t_{0}\right),  \tag{56}\\ v_{n}(x, t)=0 & \text { on } \partial B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right) \times\left(t_{1}, t_{0}\right), \\ v_{n}\left(x, t_{1}\right)=u_{n}\left(x, t_{1}\right) & \text { in } B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right),\end{cases}
$$

then $v_{n}(x, t) \leq u_{n}(x, t)$ and this is a contradiction with Harnack inequality (49). If for all $r<\rho\left(x_{0}\right)$ and all $t_{1}<t_{0},\left|B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right) \times\left\{t=t_{1}\right\} \cap E_{\infty}\right|=0$, then for all $\delta>0$ we can find in a finite number of steps a point $\left(x_{1}, t_{0}-\delta\right) \in \Omega \times\left(0, t_{0}\right)$ such that

$$
\left|B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right) \times\left\{t=t_{1}\right\} \cap E_{\infty}\right|>0
$$

and then we reach a contradiction as above.
Notice that this result is stronger, in some sense, than the result by Baras and Goldstein (see [7]) for the heat equation; if $p>2$, even the solution to the equation with truncated potential blows up in finite time. Next we will prove that even if we truncate the whole nonlinearity, we find spectral instantaneous complete blow-up. More precisely we have the following result.

Theorem 8 Consider the truncated problem

$$
\begin{cases}\left(v_{n}\right)_{t}-\Delta_{p, \gamma} v_{n}=\lambda W_{n}(x) T_{n}\left(v_{n}^{p-1}\right) & \text { in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{+},  \tag{57}\\ v(x, t)=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \\ v(x, 0)=\psi(x) & \text { in } \Omega,\end{cases}
$$

where (H1) and (H2) hold. Then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} v_{n}(x, t)=+\infty \quad \text { for every }(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{+}
$$

Proof. Using the same argument as in [2], we find that if $B_{4 r}(0) \subset \Omega$, then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{r}(0)} v_{n}(x, t) d x=+\infty \quad \text { for every } t>0
$$

Then by Harnack inequality and a strategy which is similar to the one in Theorem 7, we obtain the complete blow-up.

Remark 6 i) An alternative method to the one described above can be seen in [1]. The separation of variables gives a more transparent view of the behaviour but use in a strong way the presence of exactly two homogeneities. In the linear case (see [3]) or if the second member is not eigenvalues-like (see [2]), different arguments are needed.
ii) If instantaneous and complete blow-up happens without hypothesis (H2), seems to be an open problem. If $\gamma=0$ we can take as subsolution a convenient scaled and translated Barenblatt function that allow us to conclude that there exists a $T^{*}>0$ such that for $t>T^{*}$ the same result as in Theorem 8 holds.

## 5 Behaviour of solutions in the case $1<p<2$ and $\lambda<\lambda_{N, p, \gamma}$

In this section we will try to explain how the optimal constant in the Hardy inequality becomes the threshold for extinction in finite time of the solution.

### 5.1 Finite time extinction

Theorem 9 Assume that

$$
\max \left\{\frac{2 N}{N+2}, \frac{2 N}{N+2(\gamma+1)}\right\}<p<2
$$

$\lambda<\lambda_{N, p, \gamma}$, and $\psi \in L^{2}(\Omega)$. Then there exists a constant

$$
T^{*}=T^{*}(N, p, \gamma, \lambda, \Omega) \leq c_{1}(N, p, \gamma, \lambda, \Omega)\|\psi\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2-p}
$$

such that any solution of problem $(P)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\cdot, t) \equiv 0 \quad \text { for } t \geq T^{*} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Taking $u$ as test function in (P), and using inequalities (4) and (8), we get

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} u^{2}(t) d x+\frac{1}{S_{N, p, \gamma}}\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{N, p, \gamma}}\right)\left[\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(t)|^{p^{*}}}{|x|^{\gamma p^{*}}} d x\right]^{\frac{p}{p^{*}}} \leq 0 .
$$

Using the assumptions on $p$ and $\gamma$, by Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega} u^{2}(t) d x \leq\left[\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(t)|^{p^{*}}}{|x|^{\gamma p^{*}}} d x\right]^{\frac{2}{p^{*}}}\left[\int_{\Omega}|x| \frac{2 p^{*}}{\left.\right|^{p^{*}-2}} d x\right]^{\frac{p^{*}-2}{\gamma p^{*}}} \leq c_{1}\left[\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(t)|^{p^{*}}}{|x|^{\gamma p^{*}}} d x\right]^{\frac{2}{p^{*}}}
$$

where $c_{1}=c_{1}(N, p, \gamma, \Omega)$ is a positive constant. Therefore, setting

$$
\phi(t)=\int_{\Omega} u^{2}(t) d x,
$$

one has

$$
\phi^{\prime}(t)+c_{2}[\phi(t)]^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq 0,
$$

with $c_{2}>0$. Since $p<2$, this implies

$$
\phi(t) \leq\left([\phi(0)]^{\frac{2-p}{2}}-c_{3} t\right)_{+}^{\frac{2}{2-p}},
$$

from which the statement follows.

Theorem 10 Assume that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\gamma \geq 0, \quad 1<p<\frac{2 N}{N+2} \\
\lambda<\eta_{N, p, \gamma}=\left(\frac{N(2-p)}{p}-1\right)\left(\frac{[N-p(\gamma+1)] p}{(2-p)(N-p)}\right)^{p}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\psi|^{\frac{N(2-p)}{p}} d x<\infty .
$$

Then there exists a constant

$$
T^{*}=T^{*}(N, p, \gamma, \lambda, \Omega) \leq c_{1}(N, p, \gamma, \lambda, \Omega)\|\psi\|_{L^{\frac{N}{p}(2-p)}(\Omega)}^{2-p}
$$

such that any solution of problem ( $P$ ) found by approximation as in Theorem 1 satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\cdot, t) \equiv 0 \quad \text { for } t \geq T^{*} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We take $v_{n}=\left|u_{n}\right|^{\alpha-2} u_{n}$ as test function in $\left(\mathrm{P}_{n}\right)$, with $\alpha \geq 2$ to be chosen hereafter. We obtain

$$
\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{\alpha}(t) d x+(\alpha-1) \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\nabla u_{n}(t)\right|^{p}\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{\alpha-2}}{|x|^{\gamma p}} d x=\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{\alpha-(2-p)}}{|x|^{(\gamma+1) p}} d x
$$

Since

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\nabla u_{n}(t)\right|^{p}\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{\alpha-2}}{|x|^{\gamma p}} d x=\left(\frac{p}{\alpha-(2-p)}\right)^{p} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\nabla\left(\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{\frac{\alpha-(2-p)}{p}}\right)\right|^{p}}{|x|^{\gamma p}} d x
$$

and, by Hardy's inequality,

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{\alpha-(2-p)}}{|x|^{(\gamma+1) p}} d x \leq \lambda_{N, p, \gamma}^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left\lvert\, \nabla\left(\left.\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{\frac{\alpha-(2-p)}{p}}\right|^{p}\right.\right.}{|x|^{\gamma p}} d x
$$

we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{\alpha}(t) d x+c_{1} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left\lvert\, \nabla\left(\left.\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{\frac{\alpha-(2-p)}{p}}\right|^{p}\right.\right.}{|x|^{\gamma p}} d x \leq 0
$$

where

$$
c_{1}=(\alpha-1)\left(\frac{p}{\alpha-(2-p)}\right)^{p}-\lambda\left(\frac{p}{N-p(\gamma+1)}\right)^{p}>0
$$

Therefore, by (8),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{\alpha}(t) d x+c_{1} S_{N, p, \gamma}\left[\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{\frac{[\alpha-(2-p)] p^{*}}{p}}}{|x|^{\gamma p^{*}}} d x\right]^{\frac{p}{p^{*}}} \leq 0 \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choosing

$$
\alpha=\frac{N(2-p)}{p}
$$

the two powers of $u_{n}$ become equal. Since $\gamma \geq 0$, if we define

$$
\phi(t)=\int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{\alpha}(t) d x
$$

we obtain

$$
\phi^{\prime}(t)+c_{2}[\phi(t)]^{\frac{p}{p^{*}}} \leq 0
$$

where $c_{2}=c_{2}(N, p, \gamma, \Omega)>0$, and we obtain the result for the approximate solutions $u_{n}$ as in the previous theorem. The result on $u$ follows by taking the limit on $n$.

Remark 7 Note that $\eta_{N, p, \gamma}=\lambda_{N, p, \gamma}$, for $p=\frac{2 N}{N+2}$.
Theorem 11 Assume that

$$
\begin{gather*}
0<\gamma+1<\frac{N(2-p)}{2 p}, \\
\lambda<\mu_{N, p, \gamma}=\left(\frac{N(2-p)}{p(\gamma+1)}-1\right)\left(\frac{p(\gamma+1)}{2-p}\right)^{p}, \tag{61}
\end{gather*}
$$

and that there exists

$$
\bar{\alpha}>\frac{(2-p) N}{p(\gamma+1)}
$$

such that $\psi \in L^{\bar{\alpha}}(\Omega)$ Then there exists a constant

$$
T^{*}=T^{*}(N, p, \gamma, \lambda, \Omega, \bar{\alpha}, \psi) \leq c_{1}(N, p, \gamma, \lambda, \Omega, \bar{\alpha})\|\psi\|_{L^{\alpha}(\Omega)}^{2-p}
$$

such that any solution of problem $(P)$ found by approximation as in Theorem 1 satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\cdot, t) \equiv 0 \quad \text { for } t \geq T^{*} . \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We use $\left|u_{n}\right|^{\alpha-2} u_{n}$ as test function in $\left(\mathrm{P}_{n}\right)$, where $\alpha$ is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(2-p) N}{p(\gamma+1)}<\alpha \leq \bar{\alpha} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda<(\alpha-1)\left(\frac{p(\gamma+1)}{\alpha-(2-p)}\right)^{p} . \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that this is always possible, since assumption (61) implies that (64) is true for $\alpha=\frac{(2-p) N}{p(\gamma+1)}$. As in the previous proof we obtain inequality (60), where the constant $c_{1}$ is positive by (64). Now observe that condition (63) implies

$$
\alpha>\frac{(N(2-p)}{p}
$$

and

$$
\frac{\gamma \alpha p p^{*}}{p^{*}[\alpha-(2-p)]-\alpha p}>-N,
$$

therefore, by Hölder's inequality,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{\alpha}(t) d x & \leq\left[\int_{\Omega}|x|^{\frac{\gamma \alpha p p^{*}}{p^{*}[\alpha-(2-p)]-\alpha p}} d x\right]^{\frac{p^{*}[\alpha-(2-p)]-\alpha p}{p^{*}[\alpha-(2-p)]}}
\end{array} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{\frac{[\alpha-(2-p)] p^{*}}{p}}}{|x|^{\gamma p^{*}}} d x\right]^{\frac{\alpha p}{p^{*}[\alpha-(2-p)]}}
$$

Hence one has

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{\alpha}(t) d x+c_{3}\left[\int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{\alpha}(t) d x\right]^{\frac{\alpha-(2-p)}{\alpha}} \leq 0
$$

with $c_{3}>0$. Since $\frac{\alpha-(2-p)}{\alpha}<1$, we conclude as before.
Remark 8 Note that condition $0<\gamma+1<\frac{N(2-p)}{2 p}$ in Theorem 11 means that $1<p<$ $\frac{2 N}{N+2(\gamma+1)}$, which implies, for $\gamma \geq 0$, that $p$ satisfies also $1<p<\frac{2 N}{N+2}$. Therefore, we can compare the results of Theorem 10 and Theorem 11 in the region where $1<p<\frac{2 N}{N+2}$ and $\gamma \geq 0$. An easy calculation shows that in that region we have $\eta_{N, p, \gamma}<\mu_{N, p, \gamma}$ where $\eta_{N, p, \gamma}$ and $\mu_{N, p, \gamma}$ are given in the statements of Theorem 10, Theorem 11, respectively. Since $\frac{N(2-p)}{p}>\frac{N(2-p)}{p(\gamma+1)}$, Theorem 11 gives a better result than Theorem 10 in the above region. Let us also point out that the value $\mu_{N, p, \gamma}$ is the same value we find in Lemma 2, which gives the esistence of selfsimilar solutions of the equation in problem (P)

### 5.2 Non-extinction results

If $p>2$, and $\psi$ verifies the hypothesis (H2), by using the Barenblatt type functions one can easily prove that there is no extinction in finite time. Indeed, for any fixed time $T>0$, consider the function $B(x, t+1)$, where $B$ is the function defined in (48). One can easily check that, if the constant $M$ in (48) is sufficiently small, then this function is a subsolution of problem (P). Since $T$ is arbitrary, the result follows.

In this section we will prove that solutions to problem (P) with $1<p<2, \gamma+1 \geq 0$ and $\lambda>\lambda_{n, p, \gamma}$ are nonnegative for all time. The key of the proof is the construction of a
nonnegative subsolution to problem

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t}-\Delta_{p, \gamma}(u)=\lambda \frac{|u|^{p-2} u}{|x|^{(\gamma+1) p}}, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times(0, T),  \tag{65}\\ u(x, t)=0 & (x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times(0, T), \\ u(x, 0)=0, & x \in \Omega,\end{cases}
$$

following the ideas in [18]. See also [19]. Consider the eigenvalue problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta_{p, \gamma}\left(\phi_{1}\right)=\mu_{1}(n) W_{n}(x) \phi_{1}^{p-1}, \quad x \in \Omega  \tag{66}\\
u(x)=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $W_{n}(x)=\min \left\{n,|x|^{-(\gamma+1) p}\right\}$. The principal eigenvalue is isolated and simple. Moreover it is easy to check that the sequence of principal eigenvalues, $\left\{\mu_{1}(n)\right\}$, is decreasing, that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{1}(n)=\lambda_{n, p, \gamma}$ and that the corresponding eigenfunction $\phi_{1}$ has constant sign (see for instance [16]). In this way if $\lambda>\lambda_{n, p, \gamma}$ there exists $n_{0}$ such that for $n>n_{0}$, one has $\lambda>\mu_{1}(n)$. Hence, for $n>n_{0}$, let $\Theta(t)$ be the positive solution to problem $\Theta^{\prime}(t)=\Theta^{p-1}(t), \Theta(0)=0$

Define

$$
v(x, t)=\Theta(\varepsilon t) \phi_{1}(x)
$$

where $\varepsilon>0$ will be chosen later, and $\phi_{1}$ is a positive eigenfunction of (66) such that $\left\|\phi_{1}\right\|_{\infty}=1$. We have that

$$
\frac{v_{t}-\Delta_{p, \gamma}(v)}{\lambda v(x, t)^{p-1}}<\frac{\varepsilon \phi_{1}^{2-p}}{\lambda}+\frac{\mu_{1}(n)}{\lambda} W_{n}(x)
$$

hence, as $2-p>0, \gamma+1 \geq 0$ and $\frac{\mu_{1}(n)}{\lambda}<1$, for a suitable $\varepsilon>0$ we obtain that

$$
\frac{v_{t}-\Delta_{p, \gamma}(v)}{\lambda v(x, t)^{p-1}}<W_{n}(x) .
$$

Then $v(x, t)$ is a subsolution to the truncated problem obtained from (65) and therefore to problem (65) with $1<p<2, \psi(x) \geq 0,(1+\gamma)>0$ and $\lambda>\lambda_{n, p, \gamma}$. For the truncated equation we obtain flat supersolution by solving the ordinary differential equation $y^{\prime}(t)=$ $\lambda[y(t)]^{p-1}, 1<p<2$, with data $y(0)=a$ which solution is $y(t)=\left[a^{2-p}+\lambda(2-p) t\right]^{1 /(2-p)}$. Given a $T>0$ we find a value of $a$ for which $v(x, t)<y(t)$ in $\Omega \times(0, T)$ and $y(0) \geq \psi(x)$.

Iterating from $v$ we obtain as a conclusion that in these hypotheses the minimal solution to the truncated equation of (65) has no finite time extinction. And therefore the same result holds for (65)

Remark 9 If $(1+\gamma)<0$ the weights are flat at the origin. If we use the eigenvalue analysis as in [16], i.e., for $\beta_{n}=(1+\gamma)-\frac{1}{n}$ we define, for instance,

$$
\alpha_{n}(x)= \begin{cases}|x|^{-p \beta_{n}} & \text { if } x \in \Omega \cap B_{1}(0), \\ |x|^{-p(\gamma+1)} & \text { if } x \in \Omega \backslash B_{1}(0) .\end{cases}
$$

In this way $\alpha_{n}(x) \leq|x|^{-p(\gamma+1)}$ for all $x \in \Omega$ and moreover the eigenvalue problems

$$
\begin{cases}-\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\left|\nabla \psi_{1}\right|^{p-2} \nabla \psi_{1}}{|x|^{\gamma p}}\right)=\nu_{1}(n) \alpha_{n}(x) \psi_{1}^{p-1} & x \in \Omega  \tag{67}\\ u(x)=0 & x \in \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

verify:

1. The principal eigenvalue is isolated and simple.
2. We can choose the corresponding eigenfunction $\psi_{1}$ positive.
3. The sequence of principal eigenvalues satisfies $\nu_{1}(n) \searrow \lambda_{N, p, \gamma}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

However the final construction does not work.
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