

Weakly hyperbolic systems with Hölder continuous coefficients

Piero D'ANCONA, Tamotu KINOSHITA and Sergio SPAGNOLO

§1. Introduction

We consider the Cauchy Problem on $[0, T] \times \mathbf{R}_x$

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t U = A(t)\partial_x U + B(t)U \\ U(0, x) = U_0(x), \end{cases}$$

where $A(t), B(t)$ are $m \times m$ matrices, and $A(t)$ has real eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1(t) \leq \lambda_2(t) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_m(t) .$$

If the entries of $A(t)$ are sufficiently regular in t , say, of class C^k with $k \geq k(m)$, we know ([B], [K1]) that (1) is well posed in the Gevrey classes $\gamma^s = \gamma^s(\mathbf{R})$ for

$$1 \leq s < 1 + 1/(m - 1)$$

[actually, using the techniques of [DS], one can reach such a conclusion assuming $A(t) \in C^2$].

When the leading coefficients are only Hölder continuous, i.e., $A(t)$ belongs to $C^{0,\alpha}([0, T])$ with $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, we expect that (1) is γ^s well posed for $1 \leq s < \bar{s}$, for some $\bar{s} = \bar{s}(m, \alpha) > 1$. The first result in this direction concerned the scalar equations of order two, i.e.,

$$\partial_t^2 u = a(t)\partial_x^2 u + b(t)\partial_x u, \quad \text{where } a(t) \geq 0, \quad a(t) \in C^{0,\alpha}([0, T]),$$

for which the well-posedness was proved to hold for $s < 1 + \alpha/2$ ([CJS]). This bound is sharp.

This result has been extended to the second order equations with coefficients depending also on x ([N]), and then to any scalar equation of order m ([OT]). In the last case, one has γ^s well-posedness is

$$1 \leq s < 1 + \alpha/m .$$

The purpose of this paper is to prove the same range of Gevrey well-posedness for any $m \times m$ system of type (1), at least when $m = 2, 3$. It should be mentioned that a (partially) weaker result was proved to hold for any system of size m ([K2], see also [Y]), namely the well-posedness for $1 \leq s < 1 + \alpha/(m+1)$.

Our main result is the following :

Theorem 1. *Let $m = 2, 3$, and let $T > 0$. Assume that (1) is hyperbolic, i.e., the eigenvalues $\lambda_1(t), \dots, \lambda_m(t)$ are real, with maximum multiplicity r ($1 \leq r \leq m$), and that $A(t) \in C^{0,\alpha}([0, T])$, $B(t) \in L^1(0, T)$. Then, the Cauchy Problem (1) is well posed in γ^s provided*

$$1 \leq s < \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1-\alpha} & \text{if } r = 1, \\ 1 + \frac{\alpha}{r} & \text{if } r = 2, 3. \end{cases}$$

We also prove a result of Gevrey well-posedness for systems with arbitrary size m , under the additional assumption that the square of the matrix $A(t)$ is Hermitian. Note that if $A(t)$ is Hermitian, then (1) is a symmetric system, hence the Cauchy problem is well posed in C^∞ no matter how regular the coefficients are. However, A^2 may be Hermitian even if A is not: for instance, every 2×2 hyperbolic matrix A with trace zero has an Hermitian square A^2 .

Theorem 2. *Let $T > 0$. Assume (1) is hyperbolic, and $A(t)$ belongs to $C^{0,\alpha}([0, T])$, while $B(t) \in L^1(0, T)$; also assume*

$$(2) \quad A(t)^2 \text{ is Hermitian.}$$

Therefore, the Cauchy Problem (1) is well posed in γ^s for

$$1 \leq s < 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} .$$

If, in addition, $\lambda_1(t)^2 + \dots + \lambda_m(t)^2 \neq 0$ for all t , then (1) is well posed for

$$1 \leq s < \frac{1}{1-\alpha} .$$

REMARK 1 : Thanks to (2), the condition $\sum \lambda_j(t)^2 \neq 0$ is equivalent to the condition that $A(t)^2$ is not the zero matrix, for any t .

REMARK 2 : For $m = 2$, Theorem 1 can be directly derived from Theorem 2: indeed, it is not restrictive to assume that the 2×2 matrix $A(t)$ has trace zero (see §2 below), which implies that $A(t)^2$ is Hermitian. Moreover, any 2×2 system can be viewed as a 3×3 system with maximum multiplicity $r \leq 2$, thus the case $m = 2$, in Theorem, is a special case of $m = 3$. However, we prefer to give here a direct proof of Theorem 1 even for $m = 2$.

REMARK 3 : The conclusions of Theorems 1 and 2 can be easily extended to spatial dimension $n > 1$. Here, for the simplicity in the proofs, we shall consider only the one dimensional case.

The proof of Theorem 1 relies on a suitable choice of the energy function, based on an approximation of the characteristic invariants and the Hamilton-Cayley equation of the matrix $A(t)$. This energy is rather simple in the case $m = 2$ (see §3 below), and will be proposed in a direct way, while for $m = 3$ (see §5) it can be better understood in the framework of the theory of the quasi-symmetrizers ([DS], [J1], [J2]).

§2. Preliminaries

In order to prove Theorem 1, we can assume that the matrix $A(t)$ satisfies

$$(3) \quad \operatorname{tr}(A(t)) = 0, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Indeed, if we put $U(t, x) = \tilde{U}(t, x + \int_0^t \operatorname{tr}(A(\tau))d\tau/m)$, we can reduce (1) to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{U} = \tilde{A}(t) \partial_x \tilde{U} + B(t) \tilde{U} \\ \tilde{U}(0, x) = U_0(x), \end{cases}$$

where the matrix $\tilde{A}(t) \equiv A(t) - \{\operatorname{tr}(A(t))/m\}I$ has trace zero. Note that, if \tilde{U} belongs to $C^1([0, T]; \gamma^s(\mathbf{R}_x))$, then also U belongs to $C^1([0, T]; \gamma^s(\mathbf{R}_x))$.

We look for an a priori estimate for a solution $U(t, x)$ to (1), thus it is not restrictive to assume that $U(t, x)$ is a smooth function with compact support in \mathbf{R}_x for all $t \in [0, T]$. By Fourier transform $U(t, x) \mapsto V(t, \xi) \equiv \hat{U}(t, \xi)$, (1) is changed to the Cauchy problem on $[0, T] \times \mathbf{R}_\xi$

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} V' = i\xi A(t)V + B(t)V \\ V(0, \xi) = V_0(\xi) . \end{cases}$$

Now, $U(t, \cdot)$ belongs to $\gamma^s(\mathbf{R}_x)$ if and only if its Fourier transform satisfies

$$|V(t, \xi)| \leq C e^{-\delta|\xi|^{1/s}} \quad \text{for } |\xi| \geq r,$$

for some $C, \delta, r > 0$. Thus, in order to prove that $U \in \gamma^s(\mathbf{R}_x)$ for all $s < \sigma$, it will be sufficient to prove that

$$(5) \quad |V(t, \xi)| \leq |\xi|^\nu |V_0(\xi)| e^{C_1|\xi|^{1/\sigma}} \quad \text{for } |\xi| \geq r.$$

Given a non-negative function $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ with $\int_{-\infty}^\infty \varphi(t) dt = 1$, and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, we define the mollified matrix

$$(6) \quad A_\varepsilon(t) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty A(t + \tau/\varepsilon) \varphi(\tau) d\tau.$$

Then, we put

$$h_A(t) = (-1)^{m-1} \det(A(t)), \quad h_{A_\varepsilon}(t) = (-1)^{m-1} \det(A_\varepsilon(t)), \quad h_\varepsilon(t) = \Re h_{A_\varepsilon}(t).$$

Note that $h_A \geq 0$, since A has trace zero, whereas h_{A_ε} is complex valued. Denoting by $\|\cdot\|$ the matrix norm, there exists a constant M for which

$$(7) \quad \|A_\varepsilon(t)\| \leq M, \quad \|A'_\varepsilon(t)\| \leq M\varepsilon^{\alpha-1}, \quad \|A_\varepsilon(t) - A(t)\| \leq M\varepsilon^\alpha,$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$. Consequently we obtain, for a possibly larger constant M ,

$$|h'_{A_\varepsilon}(t)| \leq M\varepsilon^{\alpha-1}, \quad |h_{A_\varepsilon}(t) - h_A(t)| \leq M\varepsilon^\alpha,$$

which also gives

$$(8) \quad |h'_\varepsilon(t)| \leq M\varepsilon^{\alpha-1}, \quad |h_\varepsilon(t) - h_A(t)| \leq M\varepsilon^\alpha, \quad |\Im h_{A_\varepsilon}(t)| \leq M\varepsilon^\alpha.$$

§3. Proof of Theorem 1 in the case $m = 2$

For the sake of brevity, we'll confine ourselves to the case when $B(t) \equiv 0$, the general case requiring only minor changes. By (3), the characteristic equation and the Hamilton-Cayley equality take, respectively, the following forms:

$$\lambda^2 - h_A(t) = 0, \quad A(t)^2 - h_A(t)I = 0.$$

Since $\text{tr}(A_\varepsilon(t)) = \text{tr}(A(t)) = 0$, we also have

$$(9) \quad A_\varepsilon(t)^2 - h_{A_\varepsilon}(t)I = 0.$$

Now, having fixed the constant M as above (see (7), (8)), we define, for any solution $V(t, \xi)$ of (4) and for any ϵ , the energy

$$(10) \quad E(t, \xi) = |A_\epsilon(t)V|^2 + \{h_\epsilon(t) + 2M\epsilon^\alpha\}|V|^2.$$

By (8) we have

$$h_\epsilon(t) + 2M\epsilon^\alpha \geq h_A(t) + M\epsilon^\alpha \geq \begin{cases} c & \text{if } r = 1, \\ M\epsilon^\alpha & \text{if } r = 2, \end{cases}$$

since $h_A(t) \geq c > 0$ in the strict hyperbolic case, hence

$$(11) \quad M|V|^2 \geq E(t, \xi) \geq \begin{cases} |A_\epsilon(t)V|^2 + c|V|^2 & \text{if } r = 1, \\ |A_\epsilon(t)V|^2 + M\epsilon^\alpha|V|^2 & \text{if } r = 2. \end{cases}$$

Differentiating in time the energy, and using (4), we find the equality

$$\begin{aligned} E'(t, \xi) &= 2\Re(A_\epsilon V', A_\epsilon V) + 2\Re(A'_\epsilon V, A_\epsilon V) + h'_\epsilon|V|^2 + 2\{h_\epsilon + 2M\epsilon^\alpha\}\Re(V', V) \\ &= -2\xi \Im(A_\epsilon^2 V, A_\epsilon V) - 2\xi \Im(A_\epsilon \{A - A_\epsilon\} V, A_\epsilon V) + 2\Re(A'_\epsilon V, A_\epsilon V) + h'_\epsilon|V|^2 \\ &\quad - 2\{h_\epsilon + 2M\epsilon^\alpha\}\xi \Im(A_\epsilon V, V) - 2\{h_\epsilon + 2M\epsilon^\alpha\}\xi \Im(\{A - A_\epsilon\} V, V) \\ &\equiv I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5 + I_6. \end{aligned}$$

Tking into account that $\Re h_{A_\epsilon} = h_\epsilon$, by (9) we see that

$$\Im(A_\epsilon^2 V, A_\epsilon V) = h_\epsilon \Im(V, A_\epsilon V) + \Im h_{A_\epsilon} \Re(V, A_\epsilon V),$$

hence, by (7) and (10), we find

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 + I_5 &= -2\xi \Im h_{A_\epsilon} \Re(V, A_\epsilon V) - 4M\epsilon^\alpha \xi \Im(A_\epsilon V, V) \leq 6M\epsilon^\alpha |\xi| |V| |A_\epsilon V| \\ I_2 &\leq 2|\xi| \|A_\epsilon\| \|A - A_\epsilon\| |V| |A_\epsilon V| \leq 2M^2 \epsilon^\alpha |\xi| |V| |A_\epsilon V| \\ I_3 &\leq 2\|A'_\epsilon\| |V| |A_\epsilon V| \leq 2M\epsilon^{\alpha-1} |V| |A_\epsilon V| \\ I_4 &\leq |h'_\epsilon| |V|^2 \leq M\epsilon^{\alpha-1} |V|^2 \\ I_6 &\leq 2|\xi| \|A - A_\epsilon\| \left[\{h_\epsilon + 2M\epsilon^\alpha\} |V|^2 \right] \leq 2M\epsilon^\alpha |\xi| E(t, \xi). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if we choose

$$\epsilon = \begin{cases} |\xi|^{-1} & \text{if } r = 1, \\ |\xi|^{-1/(1+\alpha/2)} & \text{if } r = 2, \end{cases}$$

and recall (11), we get, for some constant $C = C(M)$,

$$E'(t, \xi) \leq \begin{cases} CE(t, \xi) \{\epsilon^\alpha |\xi| + \epsilon^{\alpha-1}\} \leq CE(t, \xi) |\xi|^{1-\alpha} & \text{if } r = 1, \\ CE(t, \xi) \{\epsilon^{\alpha/2} |\xi| + \epsilon^{-1}\} \leq CE(t, \xi) |\xi|^{1/(1+\alpha/2)} & \text{if } r = 2. \end{cases}$$

Gronwall's inequality, together with (11), yields the apriori estimate (5) with $\sigma = 1/(1 - \alpha)$, or $\sigma = 1 + \alpha/2$, hence the proof of Theorem 1 for $m = 2$. \square

§4. Proof of Theorem 2

Theorem 2 can be proved in a similar way than Theorem 1 in the case of $m = 2$, but we need not suppose (3). We still assume $B \equiv 0$.

Let us first observe that $\|A_\varepsilon^2 - A^2\| \leq (\|A_\varepsilon\| + \|A\|) \|A_\varepsilon - A\|$, thus we can take the constant M large enough to satisfy, besides (7) and (8),

$$(12) \quad \|A_\varepsilon(t)^2 - A(t)^2\| \leq M\varepsilon^\alpha.$$

Then we define, instead of (10), the following energy:

$$E(t, \xi) = |A_\varepsilon(t)V|^2 + (\{A_\varepsilon(t)^2 + 2M\varepsilon^\alpha\}V, V).$$

By (12) we have

$$(\{A_\varepsilon(t)^2 + 2M\varepsilon^\alpha\}V, V) \geq (A(t)^2V, V) + M\varepsilon^\alpha|V|^2.$$

But the Hermitian matrix $A(t)^2$ has eigenvalues $\lambda_j(t)^2 \geq 0$, hence we see that $(A(t)^2V, V) \geq 0$, while $(A(t)^2V, V)|V|^{-2} \geq c > 0$ in the special case when $\sum \lambda_j(t)^2 \neq 0$; thus, we obtain the estimates

$$(13) \quad C(M)|V|^2 \geq E(t, \xi) \geq \begin{cases} |A_\varepsilon(t)V|^2 + c|V|^2 & \text{if } \lambda_1^2 + \dots + \lambda_m^2 \neq 0, \\ |A_\varepsilon(t)V|^2 + M\varepsilon^\alpha|V|^2 & \text{if } \lambda_1^2 + \dots + \lambda_m^2 \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

We differentiate the energy: by (4), we get the equality

$$\begin{aligned} E'(t, \xi) &= 2\Re(A_\varepsilon V', A_\varepsilon V) + 2\Re(A_\varepsilon' V, A_\varepsilon V) + (\{A_\varepsilon^2\}'V, V) + 2\Re(\{A_\varepsilon^2 + 2M\varepsilon^\alpha\}V', V) \\ &= -2\xi \Im(A_\varepsilon^2 V, A_\varepsilon V) - 2\xi \Im(A_\varepsilon \{A - A_\varepsilon\}V, A_\varepsilon V) + 2\Re(A_\varepsilon' V, A_\varepsilon V) + (\{A_\varepsilon^2\}'V, V) \\ &\quad - 2\xi \Im(\{A_\varepsilon^2 + 2M\varepsilon^\alpha\}A_\varepsilon V, V) - 2\xi \Im(\{A_\varepsilon^2 + 2M\varepsilon^\alpha\}(A - A_\varepsilon)V, V) \\ &\equiv I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5 + I_6. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2) and (7), we find some constant $C = C(M)$ for which

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 + I_5 &= -2\xi \Im[(A_\varepsilon^2 V, A_\varepsilon V) + (A_\varepsilon^3 V, V)] - 4M\varepsilon^\alpha \xi \Im(A_\varepsilon V, V) = -4M\varepsilon^\alpha \xi \Im(A_\varepsilon V, V) \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^\alpha |\xi| |V| |A_\varepsilon V|, \\ I_2 &\leq C\varepsilon^\alpha |\xi| |V| |A_\varepsilon V|, \quad I_3 \leq C\varepsilon^{\alpha-1} |V| |A_\varepsilon V|, \quad I_4 \leq C\varepsilon^{\alpha-1} |V|^2, \\ I_6 &= -2\xi \Im((A - A_\varepsilon)V, A_\varepsilon^2 V) - 4M\xi \varepsilon^\alpha \Im((A - A_\varepsilon)V, V) \leq C\varepsilon^\alpha |\xi| |V| |A_\varepsilon V| + C\varepsilon^{2\alpha} |\xi| |V|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We have used the fact that A_ε^2 is Hermitian, by (2), and that $|A_\varepsilon^2 V| \leq C|A_\varepsilon V|$. Recalling (13), and choosing

$$\varepsilon = \begin{cases} |\xi|^{-1} & \text{if } \lambda_1^2 + \cdots + \lambda_m^2 \neq 0, \\ |\xi|^{-1/(1+\alpha/2)} & \text{if } \lambda_1^2 + \cdots + \lambda_m^2 \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

we find the estimate

$$E'(t) \leq \begin{cases} CE(t, \xi) [\varepsilon^\alpha |\xi| + \varepsilon^{\alpha-1}] \leq CE(t, \xi) |\xi|^{1-\alpha} & \text{if } \lambda_1^2 + \cdots + \lambda_m^2 \neq 0, \\ CE(t, \xi) [\varepsilon^{\alpha/2} |\xi| + \varepsilon^{-1}] \leq CE(t, \xi) |\xi|^{1/(1+\alpha/2)} & \text{if } \lambda_1^2 + \cdots + \lambda_m^2 \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

which yields (5) with $\sigma = 1/(1-\alpha)$, or $\sigma = 1 + \alpha/2$. Hence, the conclusion of Theorem 2 follows. \square

§5. Proof of Theorem 1 in the case $m = 3$

By (3), the characteristic equation and the Hamilton-Cayley equality have the forms :

$$\lambda^3 - k_A(t)\lambda - h_A(t) = 0, \quad A(t)^3 - k_A(t)A(t) - h_A(t)I = 0,$$

where $h_A(t) = \det(A(t)) = \lambda_1(t)\lambda_2(t)\lambda_3(t)$, while

$$k_A(t) = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} \{a_{ij}(t)a_{ji}(t) - a_{ii}(t)a_{jj}(t)\} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^3 \lambda_j(t)^2.$$

By the hyperbolicity assumption, the function $k_A(t)$ is non-negative, and in particular satisfies $k_A(t) \geq c > 0$ when $r \leq 2$, moreover

$$\Delta_A(t) \equiv \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} (\lambda_i(t) - \lambda_j(t))^2 = 4k_A(t)^3 - 27h_A(t)^2 \geq 0$$

Similarly, since $\text{tr}(A_\varepsilon(t)) = \text{tr}(A(t)) = 0$, we see that the regularized matrix (6) satisfies the equality

$$(14) \quad A_\varepsilon(t)^3 - k_{A_\varepsilon}(t)A_\varepsilon(t) - h_{A_\varepsilon}(t)I = 0.$$

However, the eigenvalues of $A_\varepsilon(t)$ may be non real, thus $k_{A_\varepsilon}(t)$ and $h_{A_\varepsilon}(t)$ are complex valued. To overcome this difficulty, we introduce the real functions

$$(15) \quad h_\varepsilon(t) = \Re h_{A_\varepsilon}(t), \quad k_\varepsilon(t) = \left\{ \left\{ \Re k_{A_\varepsilon}(t) + M\varepsilon^\alpha \right\}^{3/2} + 12 M^{3/2} \varepsilon^\alpha \right\}^{2/3}.$$

Here M is constant ≥ 1 , which is chosen large enough to fulfil, besides (7), the following inequalities on $[0, T]$:

$$(16) \quad \begin{cases} |h_\varepsilon(t) - h_A(t)| \leq M\varepsilon^\alpha, & |\Im h_{A_\varepsilon}(t)| \leq M\varepsilon^\alpha, & |h'_\varepsilon(t)| \leq M\varepsilon^{\alpha-1}, \\ |k_{A_\varepsilon}(t)| \leq M, & |k_{A_\varepsilon}(t) - k_A(t)| \leq M\varepsilon^\alpha, & |k'_{A_\varepsilon}(t)| \leq M\varepsilon^{\alpha-1}, \end{cases}$$

which imply, in particular,

$$(17) \quad |\Re k'_{A_\varepsilon}(t)| \leq M\varepsilon^{\alpha-1}, \quad |\Re k_{A_\varepsilon}(t) - k_A(t)| \leq M\varepsilon^\alpha, \quad |\Im k_{A_\varepsilon}(t)| \leq M\varepsilon^\alpha.$$

We also define

$$(18) \quad \Delta_\varepsilon(t) = 4k_\varepsilon(t)^3 - 27h_\varepsilon(t)^2.$$

Next we show that $z^3 - k_\varepsilon(t)z + h_\varepsilon(t)$ is a hyperbolic polynomial, i.e., $\Delta_\varepsilon(t) \geq 0$, and also prove some crucial estimates on $k_\varepsilon(t)$:

Lemma 1. *There exists a constant $C = C(M)$, and $c > 0$, such that*

$$(19) \quad k_\varepsilon(t) \geq \begin{cases} c & \text{if } r = 1, 2, \\ M\varepsilon^{2\alpha/3} & \text{if } r = 3, \end{cases}$$

$$(20) \quad |k'_\varepsilon(t)| \leq C\varepsilon^{\alpha-1}, \quad |k_\varepsilon(t) - k_{A_\varepsilon}(t)| \leq C\varepsilon^\alpha k_\varepsilon(t)^{-1/2},$$

$$(21) \quad \Delta_\varepsilon(t) \geq \begin{cases} c & \text{if } r = 1, \\ M^{3/2} \varepsilon^\alpha k_\varepsilon(t)^{3/2} & \text{if } r = 2, 3, \end{cases}$$

$$(22) \quad |h_\varepsilon(t)| \leq \sqrt{\frac{4}{27}} k_\varepsilon(t)^{3/2}.$$

Proof : We write for brevity (15) in the form

$$k_\varepsilon(t) = \{\tilde{k}_\varepsilon(t)^{3/2} + 12M^{3/2}\varepsilon^\alpha\}^{2/3}, \quad \text{where } \tilde{k}_\varepsilon(t) = \Re k_{A_\varepsilon}(t) + M\varepsilon^\alpha,$$

and observe that, by (17),

$$\tilde{k}_\varepsilon(t) = \{\Re k_{A_\varepsilon}(t) - k_A(t)\} + k_A(t) + M\varepsilon^\alpha \geq k_A(t) \geq \begin{cases} c & \text{if } r = 1, 2, \\ 0 & \text{if } r = 3. \end{cases}$$

This yields (19). Let us prove (20): By (15) and (17) it follows

$$|k'_\varepsilon| = |\tilde{k}'_\varepsilon| \tilde{k}_\varepsilon^{1/2} \{\tilde{k}_\varepsilon^{3/2} + 12M^{3/2}\varepsilon^\alpha\}^{-1/3} \leq |\tilde{k}'_\varepsilon| = |\Re k'_{A_\varepsilon}| \leq M\varepsilon^{\alpha-1}.$$

Moreover we get, since $k_\varepsilon(t) \geq \tilde{k}_\varepsilon(t)$,

$$|k_\varepsilon - \tilde{k}_\varepsilon| = \frac{\{k_\varepsilon^{3/2} - \tilde{k}_\varepsilon^{3/2}\}\{k_\varepsilon^{3/2} + \tilde{k}_\varepsilon^{3/2}\}}{k_\varepsilon^2 + k_\varepsilon \tilde{k}_\varepsilon + \tilde{k}_\varepsilon^2} \leq \frac{12M^{3/2}\varepsilon^\alpha \cdot 2k_\varepsilon^{3/2}}{k_\varepsilon^2} = 24M^{3/2}\varepsilon^\alpha k_\varepsilon^{-1/2},$$

and hence, using again (17),

$$|k_\varepsilon - k_{A_\varepsilon}| \leq |k_\varepsilon(t) - \tilde{k}_\varepsilon(t)| + |\tilde{k}_\varepsilon(t) - \Re k_{A_\varepsilon}(t)| + |\Im k_{A_\varepsilon}(t)| \leq C\varepsilon^\alpha k_\varepsilon^{-1/2}.$$

This completes the proof of (20).

To prove (21) we first derive, using (16), (17), and recalling that $\tilde{k}_\varepsilon(t) \geq k_A(t)$, $M > 1$, $\varepsilon < 1$, the following estimate

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} |\tilde{k}_\varepsilon^{3/2} - k_A^{3/2}| &= |\tilde{k}_\varepsilon - k_A| \cdot \frac{\tilde{k}_\varepsilon + \tilde{k}_\varepsilon^{1/2}k_A^{1/2} + k_A}{\tilde{k}_\varepsilon^{1/2} + k_A^{1/2}} \leq \left\{ |\Re k_{A_\varepsilon} - k_A| + M\varepsilon^\alpha \right\} \cdot \frac{3\tilde{k}_\varepsilon}{\tilde{k}_\varepsilon^{1/2}} \\ &\leq 2M\varepsilon^\alpha \cdot 3\tilde{k}_\varepsilon^{1/2} \leq 2M\varepsilon^\alpha \cdot 3(|\Re k_{A_\varepsilon}| + M\varepsilon^\alpha)^{1/2} \leq 6\sqrt{2}M^{3/2}\varepsilon^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

Then, we write

$$(24) \quad \Delta_\varepsilon = 4 \{2k_\varepsilon^{3/2} + \sqrt{27}h_\varepsilon\} \{2k_\varepsilon^{3/2} - \sqrt{27}h_\varepsilon\}.$$

We know that

$$\{2k_A^{3/2} + \sqrt{27}h_A\} \{2k_A^{3/2} - \sqrt{27}h_A\} = \Delta_A(t) \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad k_A(t) \geq 0,$$

thus

$$(25) \quad \{2k_A(t)^{3/2} \pm \sqrt{27}h_A(t)\} \geq 0.$$

For each fixed $t \in [0, T]$, we have either $h_\varepsilon(t) \geq 0$, or $h_\varepsilon(t) \leq 0$. In the first case, we have $\{2k_\varepsilon(t)^{3/2} + \sqrt{27}h_\varepsilon(t)\} \geq k_\varepsilon(t)^{3/2}$, while, by (16), (22), (23) and (25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \{2k_\varepsilon(t)^{3/2} - \sqrt{27}h_\varepsilon(t)\} &= 24M^{3/2}\varepsilon^\alpha + \{2\tilde{k}_\varepsilon^{3/2} - \sqrt{27}h_\varepsilon\} \\ &= 24M^{3/2}\varepsilon^\alpha + 2\{\tilde{k}_\varepsilon^{3/2} - k_A^{3/2}\} + \{2k_A^{3/2} - \sqrt{27}h_A\} + \sqrt{27}(h_A - h_\varepsilon) \\ &\geq 24M^{3/2}\varepsilon^\alpha - 2|\tilde{k}_\varepsilon^{3/2} - k_A^{3/2}| + \{2k_A^{3/2} - \sqrt{27}h_A\} - \sqrt{27}|h_A - h_\varepsilon| \\ &\geq [24 - 12\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{27}]M^{3/2}\varepsilon^\alpha + \{2k_A^{3/2} - \sqrt{27}h_A\} \\ &\geq M^{3/2}\varepsilon^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, when $h_\varepsilon(t) \leq 0$ we obtain

$$\{2k_\varepsilon^{3/2} - \sqrt{27}h_\varepsilon(t)\} \geq k_\varepsilon(t)^{3/2}, \quad \{2k_\varepsilon(t)^{3/2} + \sqrt{27}h_\varepsilon(t)\} \geq M^{3/2}\varepsilon^\alpha.$$

Thus, in both cases we get (see (24))

$$\Delta_\varepsilon(t) \geq M^{3/2} \varepsilon^\alpha k_\varepsilon(t)^{3/2}.$$

In the special case when $r = 1$, the discriminant $\Delta_A(t)$ is strictly positive, hence both the inequalities in (25) are strict, and we conclude that $\Delta_\varepsilon(t) \geq c > 0$.

Finally, (22) follows directly from (21) and the definition (18) of $\Delta_\varepsilon(t)$. \square

In the following Lemma, we consider the 3×3 Sylvester matrix A_ε^\sharp which has characteristic polynomial $z^3 - k_\varepsilon(t)z + h_\varepsilon(t)$, and exhibit an exact (but possibly non-coercive) symmetrizer for this matrix. We also prove a lower estimate of the symmetrizer.

Lemma 2. *Let $A_\varepsilon^\sharp(t)$ and $Q_\varepsilon(t)$ be defined by*

$$A_\varepsilon^\sharp(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ h_\varepsilon(t) & k_\varepsilon(t) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q_\varepsilon(t) = \begin{pmatrix} k_\varepsilon(t)^2 & 3h_\varepsilon(t) & -k_\varepsilon(t) \\ 3h_\varepsilon(t) & 2k_\varepsilon(t) & 0 \\ -k_\varepsilon(t) & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, $Q_\varepsilon(t)$ is Hermitian and satisfies the equality

$$(26) \quad Q_\varepsilon(t) A_\varepsilon^\sharp(t) = A_\varepsilon^\sharp(t)^* Q_\varepsilon(t).$$

Moreover we have, for all $W \in \mathbf{C}^3$, and for some $c > 0$,

$$(27) \quad (Q_\varepsilon(t)W, W) \geq c |L_\varepsilon(t)W|^2,$$

where

$$L_\varepsilon(t) = \Delta_\varepsilon(t)^{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} k_\varepsilon(t)^{-1/2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k_\varepsilon(t)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & k_\varepsilon(t)^{-3/2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof: (26) follows directly from the definitions. As to (27), we observe that

$$L_\varepsilon^{-1} = (L_\varepsilon^{-1})^* = \Delta_\varepsilon^{-1/2} \begin{pmatrix} k_\varepsilon^{1/2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k_\varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & k_\varepsilon^{3/2} \end{pmatrix},$$

hence

$$(28) \quad (L_\varepsilon^{-1})^* Q_\varepsilon L_\varepsilon^{-1} = \frac{k_\varepsilon^3}{\Delta_\varepsilon} \tilde{Q}_\varepsilon,$$

where

$$\tilde{Q}_\varepsilon(t) \equiv [\tilde{q}_{ij}(t)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3h_\varepsilon k_\varepsilon^{-3/2} & -1 \\ 3h_\varepsilon k_\varepsilon^{-3/2} & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By (22) it follows that $\|\tilde{Q}_\varepsilon(t)\| \leq C$ on $[0, T]$. Moreover, by (19) and (20), we see the determinant and the minor determinants of $\tilde{Q}_\varepsilon(t)$ satisfy

$$\det(\tilde{Q}_\varepsilon(t)) = 4 - \frac{27h_\varepsilon^2}{k_\varepsilon^3} = \frac{\Delta_\varepsilon}{k_\varepsilon^3} > 0$$

$$\left\{ \tilde{q}_{11}(t)\tilde{q}_{22}(t) - \tilde{q}_{12}(t)\tilde{q}_{21}(t) \right\} = 2 - \frac{9h_\varepsilon^2}{k_\varepsilon^3} = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{\Delta_\varepsilon}{3k_\varepsilon^3} > 0, \quad \tilde{q}_{11}(t) = 1 > 0.$$

This implies that the eigenvalues $\mu_1(t), \mu_2(t), \mu_3(t)$ of $\tilde{Q}_\varepsilon(t)$ are non-negative, and thus we have, for $i = 1, 2, 3$,

$$\mu_i(t) = \frac{\mu_i(t)\mu_j(t)\mu_k(t)}{\mu_j(t)\mu_k(t)} \geq \frac{\det(\tilde{Q}_\varepsilon(t))}{\|\tilde{Q}_\varepsilon(t)\|^2} \geq c \frac{\Delta_\varepsilon(t)}{k_\varepsilon(t)^3} \quad (c > 0).$$

Hence we get, for all $\tilde{W} \in \mathbf{C}^3$,

$$(\tilde{Q}_\varepsilon(t)\tilde{W}, \tilde{W}) \geq c \frac{\Delta_\varepsilon(t)}{k_\varepsilon(t)^3} |\tilde{W}|^2,$$

and consequently, taking $W = L_\varepsilon(t)^{-1}\tilde{W}$ and recalling (28),

$$(Q_\varepsilon(t)W, W) = \frac{k_\varepsilon(t)^3}{\Delta_\varepsilon(t)} (\tilde{Q}_\varepsilon(t)\tilde{W}, \tilde{W}) \geq c |\tilde{W}|^2 = c |L_\varepsilon(t)W|^2. \quad \square$$

Lemma 2 applies also to the 9×9 block matrices whose blocks are 3×3 scalar matrices :

Lemma 3. *Let I be the 3×3 identity matrix, and $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(t), \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(t), \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(t)$ be the 9×9 matrices defined by*

$$\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I \\ h_\varepsilon(t)I & k_\varepsilon(t)I & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(t) = \begin{pmatrix} k_\varepsilon(t)^2 I & 3h_\varepsilon(t)I & -k_\varepsilon(t)I \\ 3h_\varepsilon(t)I & 2k_\varepsilon(t)I & 0 \\ -k_\varepsilon(t)I & 0 & 3I \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(t) = \Delta_\varepsilon(t)^{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} k_\varepsilon(t)^{-1/2} I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k_\varepsilon(t)^{-1} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & k_\varepsilon(t)^{-3/2} I \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(t)$ is Hermitian and satisfies

$$(29) \quad \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(t)\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(t) = \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(t)^* \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(t),$$

$$(30) \quad (\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(t)W, W) \geq c |\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(t)W|^2, \quad \forall W \in \mathbf{C}^9.$$

Proof: Since the 3×3 submatrices in $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(t)$, $\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(t)$ and $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(t)$ consist of the 3×3 identity matrix I , (29) and (30) can be easily derived from (26) and (27) respectively. \square

Now, we transform our system (4) in a 9×9 system having for principal part the block Sylvester matrix $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(t)$ of Lemma 3. From (4) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
(i) \quad V' &= i\xi AV + BV = i\xi A_\varepsilon V + i\xi(A - A_\varepsilon)V + BV, \\
(ii) \quad (A_\varepsilon V)' &= i\xi A_\varepsilon^2 V + i\xi A_\varepsilon(A - A_\varepsilon)V + A'_\varepsilon V + A_\varepsilon BV, \\
(iii) \quad (A_\varepsilon^2 V)' &= i\xi A_\varepsilon^3 V + i\xi A_\varepsilon^2(A - A_\varepsilon)V + (A_\varepsilon^2)'V + A_\varepsilon^2 BV \\
&= [i\xi h_\varepsilon V + i\xi k_\varepsilon A_\varepsilon V] - \xi \Im h_{A_\varepsilon} V + i\xi(k_{A_\varepsilon} - k_\varepsilon)A_\varepsilon V \\
&\quad + i\xi A_\varepsilon^2(A - A_\varepsilon)V + (A_\varepsilon^2)'V + A_\varepsilon^2 BV.
\end{aligned}$$

In the last equality, we used the Hamilton-Cayley equality (14).

If we put

$$\mathcal{V} \equiv \mathcal{V}(t, \xi) = \begin{pmatrix} V \\ A_\varepsilon V \\ A_\varepsilon^2 V \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{C}^9,$$

we are able to combine (i), (ii) and (iii), to get the following 9×9 system :

$$(31) \quad \mathcal{V}' = i\xi \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(t)\mathcal{V} + i\xi \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon(t)\mathcal{V} - \xi \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon(t)\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon(t)\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(t)\mathcal{V},$$

where $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(t)$ is the matrix of Lemma 3, while

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon(t) &= \begin{pmatrix} A - A_\varepsilon & 0 & 0 \\ A_\varepsilon(A - A_\varepsilon) & 0 & 0 \\ A_\varepsilon^2(A - A_\varepsilon) & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \Im h_{A_\varepsilon} I & -i(k_{A_\varepsilon} - k_\varepsilon)I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon(t) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ A'_\varepsilon & 0 & 0 \\ (A_\varepsilon^2)' & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(t) = \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 & 0 \\ A_\varepsilon B & 0 & 0 \\ A_\varepsilon^2 B & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we define the energy:

$$E(t, \xi) = (\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(t)\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}).$$

By the definition of $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(t)$, using (19) and (21), we see that

$$(\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(t)\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}) \geq c_1 \Delta_\varepsilon(t)k_\varepsilon(t)^{-1}|V|^2 \geq c_2 \varepsilon^{\alpha/3}|V|^2,$$

hence, remarking that $\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(t)$ is bounded on $[0, T]$, we derive by (30) :

$$(32) \quad c \varepsilon^{\alpha/3}|V|^2 \leq E(t, \xi) \leq C|V|^2.$$

By (29) and (31), considering that \mathcal{Q}_ε is Hermitian, we get the equality

$$\begin{aligned}
E'(t, \xi) &= (\mathcal{Q}'_\varepsilon \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}) + (\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \mathcal{V}', \mathcal{V}) + (\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}') \\
&= (\mathcal{Q}'_\varepsilon \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}) + i\xi (\{\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon A_\varepsilon - A_\varepsilon^* \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon^*\} \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}) \\
&\quad + (\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \{i\xi \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon - \xi \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon + \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon + \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon\} \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}) + \overline{(\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \{i\xi \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon - \xi \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon + \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon + \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon\} \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V})} \\
&= (\mathcal{Q}'_\varepsilon \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}) - 2\xi \Im (\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}) - 2\xi \Re (\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}) + 2\Re (\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}) + 2\Re (\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}).
\end{aligned}$$

In order to prove the energy estimate, we'll use the following

Lemma 4. *Let \mathcal{S} be a 9×9 matrix. Then we have, for all $\mathcal{W} \in \mathbf{C}^9$,*

$$(33) \quad (\mathcal{S}\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}) \leq C \|\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}\| (\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}),$$

$$(34) \quad (\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon\mathcal{S}\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}) \leq C \|\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^*\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon\mathcal{S})\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}\|^{1/2} (\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}),$$

where $C = 1/c$, and $c > 0$ is given by (30).

Proof: (33) follows directly from (30), noting that $\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^* = \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon$, indeed :

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{S}\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}) &= (\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^*\mathcal{W}) \leq \|\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}\| \|\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon(t)\mathcal{W}\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{c} \|\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}\| (\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}). \end{aligned}$$

To prove (34), we use the Schwarz inequality for the scalar product $\langle \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{W} \rangle \equiv (\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{W})$, and (33) with $\mathcal{S}^*\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon\mathcal{S}$ in place of \mathcal{S} . Thus we obtain :

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon\mathcal{S}\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}) &= (\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon\mathcal{S}\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{S}\mathcal{W})^{1/2} (\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W})^{1/2} \\ &\leq C \|\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^*\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon\mathcal{S})\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}\|^{1/2} (\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

By (33) and (34), it follows

$$\begin{aligned} E'(t, \xi) &\leq C E(t, \xi) \left\{ \|\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}\mathcal{Q}'_\varepsilon\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}\| + |\xi| \|\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon^*\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon)\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}\|^{1/2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |\xi| \|\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon^*\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon)\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}\|^{1/2} + \|\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon^*\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon)\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}\|^{1/2} + \|\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon^*\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon)\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}\|^{1/2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we estimate the five summands in the left side. To this end we first observe that, for any 9×9 block matrix $\mathcal{S} = [S_{ij}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3}$, one has

$$(35) \quad \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1} = \frac{1}{\Delta_\varepsilon} [S_{ij}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3}$$

1) *Estimate of $\|\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}\mathcal{Q}'_\varepsilon\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}\|$:* Using (35), we see that

$$\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}\mathcal{Q}'_\varepsilon\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1} = \frac{k_\varepsilon^{3/2}}{\Delta_\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} 2k_\varepsilon^{1/2}k'_\varepsilon I & 3h'_\varepsilon I & -k_\varepsilon^{1/2}k'_\varepsilon I \\ 3h'_\varepsilon I & 2k_\varepsilon^{1/2}k'_\varepsilon I & 0 \\ -k_\varepsilon^{1/2}k'_\varepsilon I & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

thus, by (16) and (20), we get

$$(36) \quad \|\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}\mathcal{Q}'_\varepsilon\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}\| \leq \frac{k_\varepsilon^{3/2}}{\Delta_\varepsilon} C \left\{ k_\varepsilon^{1/2}|k'_\varepsilon| + |h'_\varepsilon| \right\} \leq \frac{k_\varepsilon^{3/2}}{\Delta_\varepsilon} C_1 \varepsilon^{\alpha-1}.$$

2) Estimate of $\| \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon^* \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon) \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1} \|$: By the equality

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & Y_1^* \\ 0 & 0 & Y_2^* \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} k^2 I & 3hI & -I \\ 3hI & 2kI & 0 \\ -kI & 0 & 3I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ Y_1 & Y_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 3 \begin{pmatrix} Y_1^* Y_1 & Y_1^* Y_2 & 0 \\ Y_2^* Y_1 & Y_2^* Y_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and by (35), we find

$$\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon^* \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon) \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1} = \frac{3k_\varepsilon}{\Delta_\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} (\Im h_{A_\varepsilon})^2 I & -ik_\varepsilon^{1/2}(k_{A_\varepsilon} - k_\varepsilon) \Im h_{A_\varepsilon} I & 0 \\ ik_\varepsilon^{1/2}(k_{A_\varepsilon} - k_\varepsilon) \Im h_{A_\varepsilon} I & k_\varepsilon |k_{A_\varepsilon} - k_\varepsilon|^2 I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, by (16),

$$(37) \quad \| \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon^* \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon) \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1} \| \leq \frac{k_\varepsilon}{\Delta_\varepsilon} C \left\{ \varepsilon^{2\alpha} + k_\varepsilon^{1/2} |k_{A_\varepsilon} - k_\varepsilon| \varepsilon^\alpha + k_\varepsilon |k_{A_\varepsilon} - k_\varepsilon|^2 \right\} \leq \frac{k_\varepsilon}{\Delta_\varepsilon} C \varepsilon^{2\alpha}.$$

To compute the products $\mathcal{X}^* \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \mathcal{X}$ with $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon, \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon, \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon$, we note that

$$(38) \quad \begin{pmatrix} X_1^* & X_2^* & X_3^* \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} k_\varepsilon^2 I & 3h_\varepsilon I & -k_\varepsilon I \\ 3h_\varepsilon I & 2k_\varepsilon I & 0 \\ -k_\varepsilon I & 0 & 3I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & 0 & 0 \\ X_2 & 0 & 0 \\ X_3 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = Z_\varepsilon \mathcal{J}$$

where

$$\mathcal{J} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$Z = k_\varepsilon^2 X_1^* X_1 + 3h_\varepsilon (X_1^* X_2 + X_2^* X_1) - k_\varepsilon (X_1^* X_3 + X_3^* X_1 - 2X_2^* X_2) + 3X_3^* X_3.$$

3) Estimate of $\| \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon^* \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon) \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1} \|$: By (38) with $X_j = A_\varepsilon^{j-1}(A - A_\varepsilon)$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, recalling (35), we see that

$$\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon^* \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon) \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1} = \frac{k_\varepsilon}{\Delta_\varepsilon} F_\varepsilon \mathcal{J},$$

where

$$F_\varepsilon = (A - A_\varepsilon)^* \left\{ k_\varepsilon^2 I + 3h_\varepsilon (A_\varepsilon + A_\varepsilon^*) - k_\varepsilon (A_\varepsilon - A_\varepsilon^*)^2 + 3A_\varepsilon^{*2} A_\varepsilon^2 \right\} (A - A_\varepsilon).$$

Hence, by (7), we get

$$(39) \quad \| \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon^* \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon) \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1} \| \leq \frac{k_\varepsilon}{\Delta_\varepsilon} C \| A - A_\varepsilon \|^2 \leq \frac{k_\varepsilon}{\Delta_\varepsilon} C_2 \varepsilon^{2\alpha}.$$

4) Estimate of $\| \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon^* \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon) \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1} \|$: By (38) with $X_1 = 0$, $X_2 = A'_\varepsilon$ and $X_3 = (A_\varepsilon^2)'$, using (35), we see that

$$\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon^* \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon) \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1} = \frac{k_\varepsilon}{\Delta_\varepsilon} G_\varepsilon \mathcal{J},$$

where $G_\varepsilon = 2k_\varepsilon A'_\varepsilon{}^* A'_\varepsilon + 3(A_\varepsilon^2)'{}^* (A_\varepsilon^2)'$. Hence we get, by (7),

$$(40) \quad \| \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon^* \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon) \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1} \| \leq \frac{k_\varepsilon}{\Delta_\varepsilon} C \| A'_\varepsilon \|^2 \leq \frac{k_\varepsilon}{\Delta_\varepsilon} C_3 \varepsilon^{2(\alpha-1)}.$$

5) Estimate of $\| \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon^* \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon) \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1} \|$: By (38) with $X_1 = B$, $X_2 = A_\varepsilon B$, $X_3 = A_\varepsilon^2 B$, and by (35), we see that

$$\mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon^* \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon) \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1} = \frac{k_\varepsilon}{\Delta_\varepsilon} H_\varepsilon \mathcal{J},$$

$$H_\varepsilon = B^* \left\{ k_\varepsilon^2 + 3h_\varepsilon(A_\varepsilon + A_\varepsilon^*) - k_\varepsilon(A_\varepsilon - A_\varepsilon^*)^2 + 3A_\varepsilon^{*2} A_\varepsilon^2 \right\} B.$$

Hence

$$(41) \quad \| \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon^* \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon) \mathcal{L}_\varepsilon^{-1} \| \leq \frac{k_\varepsilon}{\Delta_\varepsilon} \| H_\varepsilon \| \leq C_5 \frac{k_\varepsilon}{\Delta_\varepsilon} \| B(t) \|^2.$$

By (36), (37), (39), (40), (41), and (19), (21), recalling that $B(t)$ belongs to $L^1(0, T)$, and $\varepsilon < 1$, we find the following estimate, for some $\beta(t) \in L^1(0, T)$,

$$\begin{aligned} E'(t, \xi) &\leq CE \beta(t) \left[\varepsilon^{\alpha-1} \frac{k_\varepsilon^{3/2}}{\Delta_\varepsilon} + \varepsilon^\alpha \frac{k_\varepsilon^{1/2}}{\Delta_\varepsilon^{1/2}} |\xi| + \varepsilon^{\alpha-1} \frac{k_\varepsilon^{1/2}}{\Delta_\varepsilon^{1/2}} \right] \\ &\leq \begin{cases} CE \beta(t) \left[\varepsilon^{\alpha-1} k_\varepsilon^{3/2} + \varepsilon^\alpha k_\varepsilon^{1/2} |\xi| + \varepsilon^{\alpha-1} k_\varepsilon^{1/2} \right] & \text{if } r = 1 \\ CE \beta(t) \left[\varepsilon^{-1} + \varepsilon^{\alpha/2} k_\varepsilon^{-1/4} |\xi| + \varepsilon^{\alpha/2-1} k_\varepsilon^{-1/4} \right] & \text{if } r = 2, 3 \end{cases} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} CE \beta(t) \left[\varepsilon^\alpha |\xi| + \varepsilon^{\alpha-1} \right] \leq CE \beta(t) |\xi|^{1-\alpha} & \text{if } r = 1, \\ CE \beta(t) \left[\varepsilon^{\alpha/2} |\xi| + \varepsilon^{-1} \right] \leq CE \beta(t) |\xi|^{1/(1+\alpha/2)} & \text{if } r = 2, \\ CE \beta(t) \left[\varepsilon^{\alpha/3} |\xi| + \varepsilon^{-1} \right] \leq CE \beta(t) |\xi|^{1/(1+\alpha/3)} & \text{if } r = 3. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for $|\xi| > 1$, by choosing

$$\varepsilon = \begin{cases} |\xi|^{-1} & \text{if } r = 1, \\ |\xi|^{-1/(1+\alpha/2)} & \text{if } r = 2, \\ |\xi|^{-1/(1+\alpha/3)} & \text{if } r = 3. \end{cases}$$

Thus, by (32), we get the wished a priori estimate (5), where σ is equal, respectively, to $1/(1 - \alpha)$, $1 + \alpha/2$, $1 + \alpha/3$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1 in the case $m = 3$. \square

REFERENCES

- [CDS] F. Colombini, E. De Giorgi and S. Spagnolo, Sur les équations hyperboliques avec des coefficients qui ne dépendent que du temps, *Ann. Scu. Norm. Sup. Pisa*, **6** (1979), 511-559.
- [CJS] F. Colombini, E. Jannelli and S. Spagnolo, Wellposedness in the Gevrey classes of the Cauchy problem for a non strictly hyperbolic equation with coefficients depending on time, *Ann. Scu. Norm. Sup. Pisa*, **10** (1983), 291-312.
- [DS] P. D’Ancona and S. Spagnolo, Quasi-symmetrization of hyperbolic systems and propagation of the analytic regularity, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.*, **1-B** (1998), 169-185.
- [J1] E. Jannelli, On the symmetrization of the principal symbol of hyperbolic equation, *Comm. Part. Diff. Equat.*, **14** (1989), 1617-1634 .
- [J2] E. Jannelli, Sharp quasi-symmetrizers for hyperbolic Sylvester matrices, Lecture held in the *Workshop on Hyperbolic Equations, Venice, April 2002*.
- [K1] K. Kajitani, Cauchy problem for non strictly hyperbolic systems in Gevrey classes, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **23** (1983), 599-616.
- [K2] K. Kajitani, The Cauchy problem for nonlinear hyperbolic systems, *Bull. Sci. Math.*, **110** (1986), 3-48.
- [OT] Y. Ohya and S. Tarama, Le problème de Cauchy à caractéristiques multiples -coefficients hölderiens en t, *Proc. Taniguchi Intern. Symposium on Hyperbolic Equations and Related Topics -1984*, Kinokuniya 1986, 273-306.
- [Y] Y. Yuzawa, preprint.